arXiv:1506.00588v2 [math.OA] 27 Oct 2015

VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS OF EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS WITH NONTRIVIAL ONE-COHOMOLOGY

DANIEL J. HOFF

Abstract. Using Popa's deformation/rigidity theory, we investigate prime decompositions of von Neumann algebras of the form $L(\mathcal{R})$ for countable probability measure preserving equivalence relations $\mathcal{R}$. We show that $L(\mathcal{R})$ is prime whenever $\mathcal{R}$ is nonamenable, ergodic, and admits an unbounded 1-cocycle into a mixing orthogonal representation weakly contained in the regular representation. This is accomplished by constructing the *Gaussian extension* $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ *of* $\mathcal{R}$ and subsequently an s-malleable deformation of the inclusion $L(\mathcal{R}) \subset L(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$. We go on to note a general obstruction to unique prime factorization, and avoiding it, we prove a unique prime factorization result for products of the form $L(\mathcal{R}_1) \otimes L(\mathcal{R}_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\mathcal{R}_k)$. As a corollary, we get a unique factorization result in the equivalence relation setting for products of the form $\mathcal{R}_1 \times \mathcal{R}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{R}_k$. We finish with an application to the measure equivalence of groups.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Background and statement of results.** A natural question in the classification of von Neumann algebras asks how a tracial von Neumann algebra can be written as the tensor product of subalgebras. A tracial von Neumann algebra M is called *prime* if whenever $M = N \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} Q$ for subalgebras $N, Q \subset M$, either N or Q is of type I. For II_1 factors M, this amounts to forcing either N or Q to be finite dimensional. A II_1 factor is called *solid* if the relative commutant of any diffuse subalgebra is amenable. All non-amenable subfactors of a solid II_1 factor are prime.

In [Po83], Popa proved primeness for certain II_1 factors with non-separable preduals, including the group von Neumann algebra of the free group on uncountably many generators. Then in [Ge96], using free probability theory, Ge showed that the free group factors $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$ are prime as well. In [Oz03], Ozawa used C^*-algebraic methods to prove that $L(\Gamma)$ is in fact solid for all icc hyperbolic groups Γ, recovering the primeness of $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$ as a special case. By developing a new technique of closable derivations, Peterson showed in [Pe06] that $L(\Gamma)$ is prime for nonamenable icc groups which admit an unbounded 1-cocycle into a multiple of the left regular representation. Popa then used his powerful deformation/rigidity theory to give a new proof of solidity for $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$, [Po06b]. Using Sinclair's malleable deformation of $L(\Gamma)$ arising from an unbounded 1-cocycle [Si10], Vaes showed in [Va10] that deformation/rigidity theory could also be used to recover Peterson's result. In this paper, we construct an analogous deformation of $L(\mathcal{R})$ and use Popa's theory to prove the following analogue of Peterson's primeness result in the setting of countable pmp equivalence relations:

Theorem A. *Let* $\mathcal{R}$ *be a countable pmp equivalence relation with no amenable direct summand which admits an unbounded 1-cocycle into a mixing orthogonal representation weakly contained in the regular representation. Then* $L(\mathcal{R}) \ncong N \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} Q$ *for any type* II *von Neumann algebras* N *and* Q *and hence* $\mathcal{R} \ncong \mathcal{R}_1 \times \mathcal{R}_2$ *for any pmp* $\mathcal{R}_i$ *which have a.e. equivalence class infinite. In particular, if* $\mathcal{R}$ *is ergodic then* $L(\mathcal{R})$ *is prime.*

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program under Grant No. DGE-1144086.

For additional primeness results, we refer the reader to [Oz04, Po06a, CI08, CH08, Bou12, DI12]. For a II_1 factor which is not prime, it is natural to ask if it can be written uniquely as the tensor product of prime subfactors. Of course, if $M = P_1 \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} P_2$ for prime II_1 factors P_1 and P_2, then any $u \in \mathcal{U}(P_1 \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} P_2)$ gives $M = uP_1u^* \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} uP_2u^*$ as a prime factorization of M. Moreover, for any II_1 factors N, Q and $t > 0$, there is a natural identification $N \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} Q \cong N^t \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} Q^{1/t}$, where N^t denotes the amplification of N by t (see Section 2.7). Hence prime factorization results are considered up to such amplification as well as up to unitary conjugacy.

In fact, as first proved by Ozawa and Popa in [OP03] and subsequently in [Pe06, CS11, SW11, Is14, CKP14, HI15], the techniques used to prove primeness can often be used to prove unique prime factorization results. However, we find that in the setting of $L(\mathcal{R})$, the presence of the Cartan subalgebra $L^\infty(X) \subset L(\mathcal{R})$ can present additional obstacles to passing from a primeness result to a unique prime factorization result. These obstacles do not appear to have been encountered before; to best of our knowledge this paper gives the first unique prime factorization result for factors of the form $L(\mathcal{R})$ (or $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Lambda$) that do not arise also as $L(\Gamma)$ for some countable group Γ.

The root of the difficulties in the setting of $L(\mathcal{R})$ lies in the fact that our s-malleable deformation of $L(\mathcal{R})$ does not deform the Cartan subalgebra $L^\infty(X)$. As an example, take any free ergodic action of a nonabelian free group $\mathbb{F}_n$ on a standard probability space (X, μ). Then the orbit equivalence relation $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F}_n \curvearrowright X)$ will satisfy the assumptions of Theorem A, so that $P = L(\mathcal{R})$ is prime. But if we now assume that the action of $\mathbb{F}_n$ is not strongly ergodic, then P will have property Gamma[1] and the following theorem shows that $P \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} P$ admits two prime factorizations which are distinct up to unitary conjugacy and amplification:

Theorem B. *Let M_1 and M_2 be $\|\cdot\|_2$-separable II_1 factors with property Gamma and set $M = M_1 \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} M_2$. Then there is an approximately inner automorphism $\phi \in \overline{Inn(M)}$ such that $\phi(M_i) \not\prec M_j$ for any $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.*

In particular, this implies that there is no $t > 0$, $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $\phi(M_i)$ is unitarily conjugate to M_j^t in M. To avoid this obstruction, when considering unique factorization we will restrict to the case of strongly ergodic $\mathcal{R}$ and use Popa's deformation rigidity theory to prove the following:

Proposition C. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a strongly ergodic countable pmp equivalence relation which is nonamenable and admits an unbounded 1-cocycle into a mixing orthogonal representation weakly contained in the regular representation. Then $L(\mathcal{R})$ is prime and does not have property Gamma.*

Still, the presence of $L^\infty(X) \subset L(\mathcal{R})$ presents additional difficulty in applying the techniques developed in [OP03]. Nevertheless, we are able to prove the following:

Theorem D. *For $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$, let $\mathcal{R}_i$ be a nonamenable strongly ergodic countable pmp equivalence relation which admits an unbounded 1-cocycle into a mixing orthogonal representation weakly contained in the regular representation. Then for each i, $L(\mathcal{R}_i)$ is prime and does not have property Gamma, and*

(1). If $M = L(\mathcal{R}_1) \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L(\mathcal{R}_2) \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} \ldots \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L(\mathcal{R}_k) = N \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} Q$ for tracial factors N, Q, there must be a partition $I_N \cup I_Q = \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $t > 0$ such that $N^t = \bigotimes_{i \in I_N} L(\mathcal{R}_i)$ and $Q^{1/t} = \bigotimes_{i \in I_Q} L(\mathcal{R}_i)$ modulo unitary conjugacy in M.

---

[1] A II_1 factor M has *property Gamma* if there exists a sequence of unitaries $\{u_n\} \subset M$ with $\tau(u_n) = 0$ for all n and $\|u_n x - x u_n\|_2 \to 0$ for each $x \in M$.

(2). If $M = L(\mathcal{R}_1)\,\overline{\otimes}\,L(\mathcal{R}_2)\,\overline{\otimes}\,\ldots\,\overline{\otimes}\,L(\mathcal{R}_k) = P_1\,\overline{\otimes}\,P_2\,\overline{\otimes}\cdots\overline{\otimes}\,P_m$ *for* II_1 *factors* $P_1, \ldots, P_m$ *and* $m \geq k$, *then* $m = k$, *each* P_i *is prime, and there are* $t_1, \ldots, t_k > 0$ *with* $t_1 t_2 \cdots t_k = 1$ *such that after reordering indices and conjugating by a unitary in* M *we have* $L(\mathcal{R}_i) = P_i^{t_i}$ *for all* i.

(3). In (2), the assumption $m \geq k$ *can be omitted if each* P_i *is assumed to be prime.*

As an application, we prove the following corollary:

Corollary E. *Let* $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{R}_k$ *be as in Theorem D.*

(1). If $\mathcal{R}_1 \times \mathcal{R}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{R}_k \cong S_1 \times S_2$ *for infinite pmp equivalence relations* S_1 *and* S_2, *then there is* $t > 0$ *and an integer* $1 \leq m < k$ *such that after reordering the indices we have* $S_1^t \cong \mathcal{R}_1 \times \mathcal{R}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{R}_m$ *and* $S_2^{1/t} \cong \mathcal{R}_{m+1} \times \mathcal{R}_{m+2} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{R}_k$.

(2). If $\mathcal{R}_1 \times \mathcal{R}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{R}_k \cong S_1 \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_m$ *for infinite pmp equivalence relations* $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m$ *and* $m \geq k$, *then* $m = k$ *and there are* $t_1, \ldots, t_k > 0$ *with* $t_1 t_2 \cdots t_k = 1$ *such that after reordering indices we have* $\mathcal{R}_i \cong S_i^{t_i}$ *for all* i.

Note that in Theorem D we assume that each $\mathcal{R}_i$ is strongly ergodic, but that the obstruction in Theorem B only applies when multiple factors have property Gamma. We leave open the case of exactly one factor with Gamma.

We conclude with an application to the measure equivalence of countable groups. In [Ga02], Gaboriau showed that measure equivalent groups have proportional ℓ^2 Betti numbers. It follows that a countable group with positive first ℓ^2 Betti number cannot be measure equivalent to a product of infinite groups. The following theorem augments this conclusion:

Theorem F. *Let* Γ *be a countable nonamenable group which admits an unbounded 1-cocycle into a mixing orthogonal representation weakly contained in the left regular representation. Then* $\Gamma \overset{ME}{\not\sim} \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ *for any infinite groups* Γ_1, Γ_2.

1.2. **Organization and strategy.** Following the introduction, we establish the necessary preliminaries in Section 2. In Section 3 we review how an s-malleable deformation can be used to prove primeness, condensing this strategy as Theorem 3.2. Section 4 then constructs such a deformation of $L(\mathcal{R})$ by considering the Gaussian extension $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ of $\mathcal{R}$, and Section 5 combines this construction with Theorem 3.2 to prove primeness for $L(\mathcal{R})$, Theorem A.

In Section 6, we go on to apply this strategy in the more general context of prime factorization. We first prove the obstruction in Theorem B, then condense the general strategy as Theorem 6.4. Proving Proposition C allows us to apply this strategy to prove Theorem D and subsequently Corollary E. The paper concludes in Section 7 with the application to the measure equivalence of groups, Theorem F.

Acknowledgements. I would like to extend my warm thanks to Adrian Ioana for proposing the topics of study in this paper, and for the many invaluable discussions without which it would not exist. I am very grateful to him for all of his shared wisdom and unfailing encouragement in every aspect of the process. I would also like to thank Stefaan Vaes and Remi Boutonnet for their very helpful remarks. Finally, I would like to express my gratitude for the detailed and insightful suggestions of the referee and to Alessandro Carderi for an illuminating discussion of them.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout, M, N, P and Q will denote tracial von Neumann algebras, which we will always take to be $\|\cdot\|_2$-separable. We will let τ denote the trace on each where there is no danger of confusion, and the unit ball of (say) M will be written as $(M)_1$. The group of unitary operators in M will be denoted $\mathcal{U}(M)$, and if $N \subset M$, then $\mathcal{N}_M(N) = \{u \in U(M) : uNu^* = N\}$ will denote the normalizer of N in M. We will write $e_N \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(M))$ for the orthogonal projection onto $L^2(N)$, and $E_N : M \to N$ will denote the resulting faithful normal conditional expectation onto N.

2.1. **Measured Equivalence Relations.**

We review here the foundations of the study of measured equivalence relations as established by Feldman and Moore in [FM75a]. Throughout, let (X, μ) denote a standard probability space. A *measured equivalence relation* on (X, μ) is an equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ on X such that $\mathcal{R} \subset X \times X$ is measurable in the product space. For $x \in X$, let $[x]_\mathcal{R}$ denote the $\mathcal{R}$-equivalence class of x. $\mathcal{R}$ is called *countable* if $[x]_\mathcal{R}$ is countable (or finite) for a.e. $x \in X$.

We denote by $[\mathcal{R}]$ the *full group* of $\mathcal{R}$, that is, $[\mathcal{R}] = \{\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(X) : \mathrm{graph}(\phi) \subset \mathcal{R}\}$ where we write $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ for the group of bimeasurable bijections on X. $\mathcal{R}$ is *probability measure preserving (pmp)* if $\mu \circ \phi = \mu$ for all $\phi \in [\mathcal{R}]$. A pmp $\mathcal{R}$ is *ergodic* if $\mu(E) \in \{0, 1\}$ for any measurable $E \subset X$ satisfying $\mu(E \setminus \phi(E)) = 0$ for all $\phi \in [\mathcal{R}]$, and *strongly ergodic* if $\mu(E_n)(1 - \mu(E_n)) \to 0$ for any sequence of measurable subsets $E_n \subset X$ satisfying $\mu(E_n \setminus \phi(E_n)) \to 0$ for each $\phi \in [\mathcal{R}]$.

Given a positive measure subset $E \subset X$, we denote by $\mathcal{R}|_E$ the measured equivalence relation on the probability space $(E, \mu/\mu(E))$ given by $\mathcal{R}|_E = \mathcal{R} \cap (E \times E)$. Measured equivalence relations $\mathcal{R}_1$ on (X_1, μ_1) and $\mathcal{R}_2$ on (X_2, μ_2) are *isomorphic*, written $\mathcal{R}_1 \cong \mathcal{R}_2$, if there are full measure subsets $E_1 \subset X_1$, $E_2 \subset X_2$ which admit a measure space isomorphism $\phi : (E_1, \mu_1|_{E_1}) \to (E_2, \mu_2|_{E_2})$ such that

$$(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}_1|_{E_1} \iff (\phi(x), \phi(y)) \in \mathcal{R}_2|_{E_2}.$$

Henceforth, $\mathcal{R}$ will always denote a countable pmp equivalence relation on a standard probability space (X, μ). We endow $\mathcal{R}$ with a measure m given by

$$m(E) = \int_X |\{y \in [x]_\mathcal{R} : (x, y) \in E\}| d\mu(x) \quad \text{for all measurable} \quad E \subset \mathcal{R}$$

2.2. **Equivalence Relation von Neumann Algebras.**

To such an equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$, we associate a von Neumann algebra $L(\mathcal{R})$, first constructed and studied by Feldman and Moore in [FM75b]. Each $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$ gives rise to a unitary $u_g \in \mathcal{U}(L^2(\mathcal{R}, m))$ defined by $[u_g f](x, y) = f(g^{-1}x, y)$. Similarly, each $a \in A = L^\infty(X)$ is identified with an operator in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{R}, m))$ by $[af](x, y) = a(x)f(x, y)$. The von Neumann algebra $L(\mathcal{R})$ of the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ is defined to be

$$L(\mathcal{R}) = \{L^\infty(X), \{u_g : g \in [\mathcal{R}]\}\}'' \subset \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{R}, m))$$

$L(\mathcal{R})$ has a faithful normal trace given by $\tau(x) = \langle x1_D, 1_D\rangle$, where $1_D \in L^2(\mathcal{R}, m)$ is the characteristic function of the diagonal $D = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$. We note that $L^2(L(\mathcal{R}), \tau) \cong L^2(\mathcal{R}, m)$ as $L(\mathcal{R})$ modules and we will identify these Hilbert spaces henceforth.

If $\mathcal{R}$ is ergodic then $L(\mathcal{R})$ is a factor, and if $\mathcal{R}$ is strongly ergodic then any sequence $\{a_n\} \subset (A)_1$ with $\|a_n u_g - u_g a_n\|_2 \to 0$ for each $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$ must have $\|a_n - \tau(a_n)\|_2 \to 0$.

Note that $L^\infty(\mathcal{R}, m)$ acts on $L^2(\mathcal{R}, m)$ by pointwise multiplication and that $L^\infty(\mathcal{R}, m)$ is normalized by each unitary u_g with $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$. Recall that $\mathcal{R}$ is called *amenable* if there is a state Φ on $L^\infty(\mathcal{R}, m)$ with $\Phi(u_g f u_g^*) = \Phi(f)$ for all $f \in L^\infty(\mathcal{R})$, $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$ and such that $\Phi|_{L^\infty(X)} = \tau$.

One can show that $L(\mathcal{R})$ is an amenable von Neumann algebra if and only if $\mathcal{R}$ is amenable. We say $\mathcal{R}$ has an amenable direct summand if there is a measurable subset $Y \subset X$ such that $\mu(Y) > 0$, $\mathcal{R}|_Y$ is amenable, and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}|_Y \cup \mathcal{R}|_{Y^c}$. In this case, $L(\mathcal{R}) = L(\mathcal{R}|_Y) \oplus L(\mathcal{R}|_{Y^c})$ has an amenable direct summand as well.

Let $Z^1(\mathcal{R}, S^1)$ denote the group of S^1-valued *multiplicative 1-cocycles* on $\mathcal{R}$, that is, the group of measurable maps $c : \mathcal{R} \to S^1$ such that for μ-a.e. $x \in X$,

$$c(x,y)c(y,z) = c(x,z) \quad \text{for all } (x,y),(y,z) \in \mathcal{R}, \tag{2.1}$$

identifying cocycles that agree m-a.e. Given $c \in Z^1(\mathcal{R}, S^1)$ and $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$, let $f_{c,g} \in \mathcal{U}(L^\infty(X))$ be given by $f_{c,g}(x) = c(x, g^{-1}x)$. Then using (2.1), one can check that the formula

$$\psi_c(au_g) = f_{c,g}au_g \quad \text{for } a \in L^\infty(X), g \in [\mathcal{R}] \tag{2.2}$$

gives rise to a well defined $*$-isomorphism $\psi_c \in \operatorname{Aut}(L(\mathcal{R}))$. Note that $\psi_{c_1} \circ \psi_{c_2} = \psi_{c_1 c_2}$, so $c \mapsto \psi_c$ defines an action $\psi : Z^1(\mathcal{R}, S^1) \to \operatorname{Aut}(L(\mathcal{R}))$.

2.3. **Representations of Equivalence Relations.** In analogy to group representations on Hilbert spaces, pmp equivalence relations on X are represented on measurable Hilbert bundles with base X. For an excellent detailed account of measurable Hilbert bundles, we refer the reader to [Di69]. We recall here a few of the necessary facts.

Given a collection of Hilbert spaces $\{\mathcal{H}_x\}_{x\in X}$, we form the *Hilbert bundle* $X * \mathcal{H}$ as the set of pairs $X * \mathcal{H} = \{(x, \xi_x) : x \in X, \xi_x \in \mathcal{H}_x\}$. A section ξ of $X * \mathcal{H}$ is a map $x \mapsto \xi(x) \in \mathcal{H}_x$.

A *measurable Hilbert bundle* is a Hilbert bundle $X * \mathcal{H}$ endowed with a σ-algebra generated by the maps $\{(x, \xi_x) \mapsto \langle \xi_x, \xi_n(x)\rangle\}_{n=1}^\infty$ for a *fundamental sequence of sections* $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfying

(*i*) $\mathcal{H}_x = \overline{\operatorname{span}\{\xi_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty}$ for each $x \in X$, and

(*ii*) the maps $\{x \mapsto \|\xi_n(x)\|\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are measurable.

It is a useful fact that the σ-algebra of any measurable Hilbert bundle can be generated by an *orthonormal fundamental sequence of sections*, i.e. sections which moreover satisfy

(*iii*) $\{\xi_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_x$ for $x \in X$ with $\dim \mathcal{H}_x = \infty$, and if $\dim \mathcal{H}_x < \infty$, the sequence $\{\xi_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}_x}$ is an orthonormal basis and $\xi_n(x) = 0$ for $n > \dim \mathcal{H}_x$.

A *measurable section* of $X * \mathcal{H}$ is a section ξ such that $x \mapsto (x, \xi(x)) \in X * \mathcal{H}$ is a measurable map, or equivalently, such that the maps $\{x \mapsto \langle \xi(x), \xi_n(x)\rangle\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are measurable for the fundamental sequence of sections $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. We let $S(X * \mathcal{H})$ denote the vector space of measurable sections, identifying μ-a.e. equal sections. We then consider the *direct integral*

$$\int_X^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x d\mu(x) = \{\xi \in S(X * \mathcal{H}) : \int_X \|\xi(x)\|^2 d\mu(x) < \infty\}$$

which is a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \xi, \eta\rangle = \int_X \langle \xi(x), \eta(x)\rangle d\mu(x)$. If $a \in A = L^\infty(X)$ and $\xi \in \int_X^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x d\mu(x)$ we denote by $a\xi$ or ξa the element of $\int_X^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x d\mu(x)$ given by $[a\xi](x) = [\xi a](x) = \xi(x)a(x)$. If $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is an orthonormal fundamental sequence of sections, any $\xi \in \int_X^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x d\mu(x)$ has an expansion $\xi = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n \xi_n$ where $a_n = \langle \xi(\cdot), \xi_n(\cdot)\rangle \in A$.

A *unitary (resp. orthogonal) representation* of $\mathcal{R}$ on a complex (real) measurable Hilbert bundle $X * \mathcal{H}$ is a map $(x,y) \mapsto \pi(x,y) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_y, \mathcal{H}_x)^2$ on $\mathcal{R}$ such that for μ-a.e. $x \in X$, we have

$$\pi(x,y)\pi(y,z) = \pi(x,z) \quad \text{for all} \quad (x,y),(y,z) \in \mathcal{R},$$

---

[2] For complex (resp. real) Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$, we write $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ for the set of unitary (orthogonal) maps from $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\mathcal{K}$

and such that $(x,y) \mapsto \langle \pi(x,y)\xi(y), \eta(x)\rangle$ is a measurable map on $\mathcal{R}$ for all $\xi, \eta \in S(X * \mathcal{H})$.

Given a measurable Hilbert bundle $X * \mathcal{H}$ with an orthonormal fundamental sequence of sections $\mathcal{S} = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, we can always form the *identity representation* $\mathrm{id}_\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{R}$ on $X*\mathcal{H}$, where $\mathrm{id}_\mathcal{S}(x,y)$ is determined by the formula $\mathrm{id}_\mathcal{S}(x,y)\xi_n(y) = \xi_n(x)$ for each $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}$, $\xi_n \in \mathcal{S}$.

To define the regular representation of $\mathcal{R}$, take $\mathcal{H}_x = \ell^2([x]_\mathcal{R})$ for each $x \in X$, and form the measurable Hilbert bundle $X * \mathcal{H}$ with fundamental sequence of sections $\{\xi_g\}_{g\in\Gamma}$, where $\xi_g(x) = 1_{\{g^{-1}x\}}$ and Γ is a countable subgroup of $[\mathcal{R}]$ which generates $\mathcal{R}$ (which exists by [FM75a], Thm. 1). The *regular representation* of $\mathcal{R}$ is then the representation λ on $X * \mathcal{H}$ given by $\lambda(x,y) = \mathrm{id}$ for all $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}$.

Given representations π on $X * \mathcal{H}$ and ρ on $X * \mathcal{K}$, we say that π and ρ are *unitarily equivalent* if there is a family of unitaries $\{U_x \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_x, \mathcal{K}_x)\}_{x\in X}$ with

$$U_x\pi(x,y) = \rho(x,y)U_y \quad \text{for all} \quad (x,y) \in \mathcal{R},$$

and such that $x \mapsto U_x\xi(x)$ is in $S(X * \mathcal{K})$ for each $\xi \in S(X * \mathcal{H})$. We say that π is *weakly contained* in ρ, written $\pi \prec \rho$, if for any $\epsilon > 0$, $\xi \in S(X * \mathcal{H})$, and $E \subset \mathcal{R}$ with $m(E) < \infty$, there exists $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m\} \subset S(X * \mathcal{K})$ with

$$m(\{(x,y) \in E : |\langle \pi(x,y)\xi(y), \xi(x)\rangle - \sum_{i=1}^m \langle \rho(x,y)\eta_i(y), \eta_i(x)\rangle| \geq \epsilon\}) < \epsilon$$

A representation π on $X * \mathcal{H}$ is called *mixing* (cf. [Ki14], Def. 4.4) if for every $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ and $\xi, \eta \in S(X * \mathcal{H})$ with $\|\xi(x)\| = \|\eta(x)\| = 1$ a.e., there is $E \subset X$ with $\mu(X \setminus E) < \delta$ such that

$$\left|\{y \in [x]_{\mathcal{R}|_E} : |\langle \pi(x,y)\xi(y), \eta(x)\rangle| > \epsilon\}\right| < \infty \quad \text{for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in E$$

A *1-cocycle* for a representation π on $X * \mathcal{H}$ is a map $(x,y) \mapsto b(x,y) \in \mathcal{H}_x$ on $\mathcal{R}$ such that for μ-a.e. $x \in X$,

$$b(x,z) = b(x,y) + \pi(x,y)b(y,z) \quad \text{for all} \quad (x,y), (y,z) \in \mathcal{R}, \tag{2.3}$$

and such that $(x,y) \mapsto (x, b(x,y)) \in X * \mathcal{H}$ is measurable.

A 1-cocycle b is a *coboundary* if there is a measurable section ξ of $X * \mathcal{H}$ such that $b(x,y) = \xi(x) - \pi(x,y)\xi(y)$ for m-a.e. $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}$, and a pair of 1-cocycles b and b' are *cohomologous* if $b - b'$ is a coboundary.

We define a 1-cocycle to be *bounded* if there exists a sequence of measurable subsets $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of X with $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n) = 1$ and $\sup\{\|b(x,y)\| : (x,y) \in \mathcal{R}|_{E_n}\} < \infty$ for each $n \geq 1$. With this definition, the analysis of Anantharaman-Delaroche [A-D03] gives the following equivalence:

Lemma 2.1. *A 1-cocycle b for a representation π of $\mathcal{R}$ on $X * \mathcal{H}$ is a coboundary if and only if it is bounded.*

Proof. Suppose there is $\xi \in S(X * \mathcal{H})$ such that $b(x,y) = \xi(x) - \pi(x,y)\xi(y)$ for m-a.e. $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}$. Then for $n \geq 1$ set $E_n = \{x \in X : \|\xi(x)\| \leq n\}$. Then $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n = X$ and for $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}|_{E_n}$ we have $\|b(x,y)\| \leq \|\xi(x)\| + \|\pi(x,y)\xi(y)\| \leq 2n < \infty$.

Conversely, consider a sequence of measurable subsets $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of X with $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n) = 1$ and $\sup\{\|b(x,y)\| : (x,y) \in \mathcal{R}|_{E_n}\} < \infty$ for each $n \geq 1$. Then by Lemma 3.21 of [A-D03], for each n we know that b restricted to $\mathcal{R}|_{E_n}$ is a coboundary, i.e., there is $\xi_n \in S(E_n * \mathcal{H})$ with $b(x,y) = \xi_n(x) - \pi(x,y)\xi_n(y)$ for m-a.e. $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}|_{E_n}$. We can then extend ξ_n to the $\mathcal{R}$-saturation $F_n = \bigcup_{x\in E_n}[x]_\mathcal{R}$ by the formula $\xi_n(x) = b(x,y) + \pi(x,y)\xi_n(y)$ for some $y \in E_n$ such that $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}$. This definition does not depend on the choice of y; if $z \in E_n$ with

$(x,z) \in \mathcal{R}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}[b(x,y) + \pi(x,y)\xi_n(y)] - [b(x,z) + \pi(x,z)\xi_n(z)] &= [b(x,y) - b(x,z)] + \pi(x,y)\xi_n(y) - \pi(x,z)\xi_n(z) \\ &= -\pi(x,y)b(y,z) + \pi(x,y)\xi_n(y) - \pi(x,z)\xi_n(z) \\ &= \pi(x,y)[-b(y,z) + \xi_n(y) - \pi(y,z)\xi_n(z)] \\ &= \pi(z,y)[0] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have a sequence $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\xi_n \in S(F_n * \mathcal{H})$, $b(x,y) = \xi_n(x) - \pi(x,y)\xi_n(y)$ for m-a.e. $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}|_{F_n}$. Now for $x \in F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$, define

$$\xi(x) = \xi_{n_x}(x), \quad \text{where} \quad n_x = \min\{n \geq 1 : x \in F_n\}, \tag{2.4}$$

and let $\xi(x) = 0$ for $x \notin F$. Note that if $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}$, then $n_x = n_y$ since each set F_n is $\mathcal{R}$-invariant. Thus

$$b(x,y) = \xi_{n_x}(x) - \pi(x,y)\xi_{n_y}(y) = \xi(x) - \pi(x,y)\xi(y)$$

for $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}|_F$. Since $\mu(F) = 1$, this is m-a.e. $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}$.

Finally, to see that ξ is measurable, note that $\xi(x) = \xi_n(x)$ for all $x \in F_n \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} F_k$ which (along with F^c) decompose X into measurable subsets on which the restriction of ξ is measurable. □

Thus a 1-cocycle that is not a coboundary must be *unbounded* (i.e. not bounded), for which we have another useful characterization.

Lemma 2.2. *A 1-cocycle b for a representation π of $\mathcal{R}$ on $X * \mathcal{H}$ is unbounded if and only if there is $\delta > 0$ such that for any $R > 0$ there is $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$ with $\mu(\{x \in X : \|b(x, g^{-1}x)\| > R\}) \geq \delta$.*

Proof. First, suppose there is $\delta > 0$ such that for any $R > 0$ there is $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$ with $\mu(\{\|b(x, g^{-1}x)\| \geq R\}) \geq \delta$. Then if b were bounded, there would be measurable $E \subset X$ such that $\mu(E) > 1 - \frac{\delta}{2}$ and $R = \sup\{\|b(x,y)\| : (x,y) \in \mathcal{R}|_E\} < \infty$. But then there would be $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$ such that $F = \{\|b(x, g^{-1}x)\| > R\}$ has $\mu(F) \geq \delta$. Noting that $g^{-1}(E \cap F) \subset E^c$, we then would have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(E \cup F) = \mu(E) + \mu(F) - \mu(E \cap F) \geq \mu(E) + \mu(F) - \mu(E^c) = 2\mu(E) + \mu(F) - 1 \\ > 2(1 - \frac{\delta}{2}) + \delta - 1 = 1,\end{aligned}$$

which is impossible.

Conversely suppose that b is unbounded. By Feldman and Moore [FM75a], $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$ for a pmp action of a countable group Γ. Moreover, Γ can be chosen such that $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if $y = hx$ for some $h \in \Gamma$ with $h^2 = e$. Since Γ is countable, enumerate the elements of Γ of order ≤ 2 as $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

For each $n \geq 1$, we recursively define a sequence of measurable subsets $\{A_k^n\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Let $A_1^n = \{x \in X : \|b(x, h_1 x)\| \geq n\}$ and given $A_1^n, \ldots, A_{k-1}^n$, define $F_k^n = X \setminus \left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} A_j^n\right]$ and

$$A_k^n = \{x \in F_k^n \cap h_k F_k^n : \|b(x, h_k x)\| \geq n\} \tag{2.5}$$

Set $A^n = \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k^n$. Note that

$$\|b(h_k x, h_k^2 x)\| = \|b(h_k x, x)\| = \| - \pi(h_k x, x) b(x, h_k x)\| = \|b(x, h_k x)\|$$

from which it follows that $h_k A_k^n = A_k^n$ for every k. We can therefore define $g_n \in [\mathcal{R}]$ by the formula

$$g_n x = \begin{cases} h_k x, & \text{if } x \in A_k^n; \\ x, & \text{if } x \notin A^n. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

Note that $g_n^2 = e$ and $\|b(x, g_n x)\| \geq n$ for all $x \in A^n$.

Now set $E_n = X \setminus A^n$. For $x \in E_n = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k^n$ we have $\|b(x, h_k x)\| < n$ for all k such that $x \in h_k F_k^n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} n &\geq \sup\{\|b(x, h_k x)\| : k \geq 1, x \in E_n \cap h_k F_k^n\} \\ &\geq \sup\{\|b(x, h_k x)\| : k \geq 1, x \in E_n \cap h_k E_n\} \\ &= \sup\{\|b(x, y)\| : (x, y) \in \mathcal{R}|_{E_n}\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore setting $\delta = 1 - \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n)$, we must have $\delta > 0$, since otherwise b would be bounded. For any $R > 0$, taking some integer $n > R$ we have

$$\mu(\{\|b(x, g_n^{-1}x)\| \geq R\}) \geq \mu(\{\|b(x, g_n^{-1}x)\| \geq n\}) \geq \mu(A^n) = 1 - \mu(E_n) \geq \delta.$$

□

2.4. **Orbit Equivalence Relations.** Given a countable group Γ with a pmp action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$, the *orbit equivalence relation* $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$ is defined by

$$(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X) \quad \iff \quad y = gx \text{ for some } g \in \Gamma,$$

and two group actions are *orbit equivalent (OE)* if and only if they have isomorphic orbit equivalence relations.

In the case where $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$ for a free[3] pmp action of Γ, then $L(\mathcal{R}) \cong L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$, and for this reason the algebra $L(\mathcal{R})$ is sometimes called the *generalized group-measure space von Neumann algebra.* If Γ is an amenable group then $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$ is amenable, and the converse holds if the action is free. Feldman and Moore showed in [FM75a] that any countable pmp $\mathcal{R}$ arises from the action of a countable group, however this action cannot always be taken to be free, a question which was settled by Furman in [Fu99].

If $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$ for the free pmp action of a countable group Γ, then any group representation $\pi : \Gamma \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ of Γ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ gives rise to a representation $\pi_{\mathcal{R}}$ of $\mathcal{R}$, and a 1-cocycle b for π gives a 1-cocycle $b_{\mathcal{R}}$ for $\pi_{\mathcal{R}}$ as follows. We represent $\mathcal{R}$ on the Hilbert bundle $X * \mathcal{K}$ where $\mathcal{K}_x = \mathcal{H}$ for all $x \in X$. Let $E_0 = \{x \in X : gx = x \text{ for some nonidentity } g \in \Gamma\}$. Then $\mu(E_0) = 0$ since Γ is countable and the action is free. Define

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(x, g^{-1}x) = \pi(g), \quad \text{and} \\ &b_{\mathcal{R}}(x, g^{-1}x) = b(g), \quad \text{for} \quad g \in \Gamma, x \notin E_0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

and since $\mu(E_0) = 0$, for $x \in E_0$ take (say) $\pi(x, y) = \text{id}$ and $b(x, y) = 0$. One can check that $\pi_{\mathcal{R}}$ is mixing if π is mixing and $b_{\mathcal{R}}$ is unbounded if b is unbounded. Moreover if $\pi \prec \rho$ for another representation ρ of Γ, then $\pi_{\mathcal{R}} \prec \rho_{\mathcal{R}}$ as well. When π is either the left or right regular representation, then $\pi_{\mathcal{R}}$ is unitarily equivalent to the regular representation λ.

---

[3] $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is *free* if $\mu(\{x \in X : gx = x\}) = 0$ for each nonidentity $g \in \Gamma$.

2.5. **Relative Mixingness and Weak Containment of Bimodules.** We recall a few useful notions for bimodules over von Neumann algebras. Let $N \subset M$ be a von Neumann subalgebra. An M-M bimodule ${}_M\mathcal{H}_M$ is *mixing relative to* N if for any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset (M)_1$ with $\|\mathrm{E}_N(yx_nz)\|_2 \to 0$ for all $y, z \in M$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{y\in(M)_1} |\langle x_n\xi y, \eta\rangle| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{y\in(M)_1} |\langle y\xi x_n, \eta\rangle| = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{2.8}$$

An M-N bimodule ${}_M\mathcal{H}_N$ is *weakly contained* in a M-N bimodule ${}_M\mathcal{K}_N$, written ${}_M\mathcal{H}_N \prec {}_M\mathcal{K}_N$, if for any $\epsilon > 0$, finite subsets $F_1 \subset M, F_2 \subset N$, and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, there are $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$|\langle x\xi y, \xi\rangle - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle x\eta_j y, \eta_j\rangle| < \epsilon \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in F_1, y \in F_2. \tag{2.9}$$

Given bimodules ${}_M\mathcal{H}_N$ and ${}_N\mathcal{K}_P$, we can form Connes' fusion M-P bimodule ${}_M\mathcal{H} \otimes_N \mathcal{K}_P$ which satisfies $\xi a \otimes_N \eta = \xi \otimes_N a\eta$ for all $a \in N$, $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, $\eta \in \mathcal{K}$ (see [PV11] for a construction). If ${}_M\mathcal{H}_N \prec {}_M\mathcal{K}_N$, then ${}_M\mathcal{H} \otimes_N \mathcal{L}_P \prec {}_M\mathcal{K} \otimes_N \mathcal{L}_P$ for any N-P bimodule $\mathcal{L}$, and ${}_P\mathcal{L} \otimes_M \mathcal{H}_N \prec {}_P\mathcal{L} \otimes_M \mathcal{K}_N$ for any P-M bimodule $\mathcal{L}$.

The following lemma is standard and appears in Remark 3.7 of [Va10], for instance. We include the proof below for completeness.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $Q \subset M$ and let $\mathcal{H}$ be an M-M bimodule. Suppose that $\{\xi_n\}_1^\infty \subset \mathcal{H}$, $\epsilon, \kappa > 0$ are such that*

(i) $\|\xi_n\| \geq \epsilon$ for all n,

(ii) $\|x\xi_n\| \leq \kappa\|x\|_2$ for $x \in M$ and all n, and

(iii) $\|x\xi_n - \xi_n x\| \to 0$ for each $x \in Q$.

Then there is a nonzero projection $z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q' \cap M)$ such that ${}_M[L^2(M)z]_{Qz} \prec {}_M[\mathcal{H}z]_{Qz}$.

Proof. For each $x \in M$ and $n \geq 1$ set $\phi_n(x) = \langle x\xi_n, \xi_n\rangle$. By *(ii)* we have $0 \leq \phi_n \leq \kappa^2\tau$ so there is $T_n \in M$ with $0 < T_n \leq \kappa^2$ such that $\langle x\xi_n, \xi_n\rangle = \tau(xT_n)$ for all $x \in M$. Since $T_n \leq \kappa^2$ for all n, passing to a subsequence we may assume that $T_n \to T$ weakly for some $T \in M$ with $0 \leq T \leq \kappa^2$. Moreover, $T \neq 0$ since $\tau(T_n) = \|\xi_n\|^2 \geq \epsilon^2$ for all n, and by *(iii)* we have $T \in Q' \cap M$. Let $\delta > 0$ be small enough that $p = 1_{(\delta,\kappa^2]}(T)$ is nonzero. Then set $S = f(T)$ where $f(t) = (1_{(\delta,\kappa^2]}(t)/t)^{1/2}$ for $t \in \sigma(T)$ so that $S^2T = p$. Since $p \in Q' \cap M$ is nonzero, there is $p' \in Q' \cap M$ with $p' \leq p$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathcal{Z}(Q'\cap M)}(p') = \frac{1}{m}z$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q' \cap M)$. Let $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m \in Q' \cap M$ be partial isometries with $v_j^*v_j = p'$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m v_jv_j^* = z$. Set $\eta_n^j = v_jS'\xi_n$ and $S' = Sp'$ so that $S'^2T = p'$. Then for any $x, a \in M$ and $y \in Qz$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle xay, a\rangle = \tau(a^*xay) &= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau(a^*xayv_jv_j^*) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau(xyv_jS'TS'v_j^*) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau(S'v_j^*xyv_jS'T_n) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle S'v_j^*xyv_jS'\xi_n, \xi_n\rangle = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle x\eta_n^j y, \eta_n^j\rangle\end{aligned}$$

and thus ${}_M[L^2(M)z]_{Qz} \prec {}_M[\mathcal{H}z]_{Qz}$. □

2.6. **Relative Amenability.** The notion of relative amenability for von Neumann subalgebras is due to Ozawa and Popa in [OP07], from which we get the following:

Theorem 2.4 ([OP07]). *Let N, Q be von Neumann subalgebras of (M, τ) which contain 1_M. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1). N is amenable relative to Q inside M;

(2). There is an N-central state ϕ on $\langle M, e_Q\rangle$ such that $\phi|_M = \tau$;

(3). There is a conditional expectation $\Phi : \langle M, e_Q\rangle \to N$ such that $\Phi|_M = E_N$;

(4). There is $\{\xi_n\} \subset L^2(M) \otimes_Q L^2(M)$ such that for each $x \in M$, $b \in N$ we have $\langle x\xi_n, \xi_n\rangle \to \tau(x)$ and $\|b\xi_n - \xi_n b\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$;

(5). ${}_M L^2(M)_N \prec {}_M L^2(M) \otimes_Q L^2(M)_N$.

This generalizes the notion of amenability for subalgebras: N is amenable iff it is amenable relative to $\mathbb{C}$ inside M for some (and hence all) $M \supset N$.

We will need the following useful proposition due to Popa and Vaes:

Proposition 2.5 (Proposition 2.7 of [PV11]). *Let N, Q_1, Q_2 be von Neumann subalgebras of (M, τ) which contain 1_M. Suppose that $M = \mathcal{N}_M(Q_1)''$ and $[e_{Q_1}, e_{Q_2}] = 0$, and that N is amenable relative to Q_i for $i = 1, 2$. Then N is amenable relative to $Q_1 \cap Q_2$.*

2.7. **Amplifications.** In order to give the prime factorization result in Section 6 and the application to measure equivalence in Section 7, we will need the language of amplifications. For a II_1 factor (M, τ), we consider the type II_∞ factor $M^\infty = M \overline{\otimes} B(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}))$. If we denote by Tr the semifinite trace on $B(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}))$, then $\tau \otimes \mathrm{Tr}$ gives a semifinite trace on M^∞. For any $t > 0$, the *amplification* of M by t is the II_1 factor $M^t = PM^\infty P$ for a projection $P \in M^\infty$ satisfying $(\tau \otimes \mathrm{Tr})(P) = t$. Note that such a projection exists since M is II_1 and that M^t is well defined up to unitary conjugacy in M^∞. If M is type I_n for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such P exists provided $nt \in \mathbb{Z}$ and in this case we define M^t as above. For $s, t > 0$, $(M^s)^t = M^{st}$.

Now consider the tracial factor $L(\mathcal{R})$ for ergodic $\mathcal{R}$. If $\mathcal{R}$ is infinite, ergodicity implies that the space (X, μ) must be non-atomic and $L(\mathcal{R})$ is a type II_1 factor. For such $\mathcal{R}$ and $t > 0$, we can define as follows the *amplification* $\mathcal{R}^t$ of $\mathcal{R}$ in such a way that $L(\mathcal{R}^t) \cong L(\mathcal{R})^t$.

Consider the measure space $(X^\infty, \mu \otimes \#) = (X \times \mathbb{Z}, \mu \otimes \#)$, where # denotes the counting measure on $\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathcal{R}^\infty = \mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \subset X^\infty \times X^\infty$ is a countable measurable equivalence relation. For $t > 0$, define $\mathcal{R}^t = \mathcal{R}^\infty|_E$ for measurable $E \subset X^\infty$ with $(\mu \otimes \#)(E) = t$. Such a set E exists since X is non-atomic, and using the ergodicity of $\mathcal{R}$ one can show that $\mathcal{R}^t$ is well defined up to isomorphism. From this it further follows that $(\mathcal{R}^t)^s = \mathcal{R}^{ts}$ for $t, s > 0$.

If $\mathcal{R}$ has a representation π with 1-cocycle b on a Hilbert bundle $X * \mathcal{H}$, we can form the Hilbert bundle $E * \mathcal{H}^t$, where E is as above and where $\mathcal{H}^t_{(x,k)} = \mathcal{H}_x$ for each $(x, k) \in E$. Then we can define a representation π^t of $\mathcal{R}^t$ with 1-cocycle b^t by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^t((x,k),(y,m)) &= \pi(x,y), \quad \text{and} \\ b^t((x,k),(y,m)) &= b(x,y), \quad \text{for} \quad ((x,k),(y,m)) \in \mathcal{R}^t. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

For any $t > 0$, π is mixing if and only if π^t is mixing, b is unbounded if and only if b^t is unbounded, and for another representation ρ of $\mathcal{R}$, $\pi \prec \rho$ if and only if $\pi^t \prec \rho^t$.

2.8. **Popa's intertwining by bimodules.** We will make essential use of the following theorem of Popa, fundamental to deformation/rigidity theory:

Theorem 2.6 (Popa's Intertwining by Bimodules, Theorem 2.1 of [Po03]). *Let N and P be unital subalgebras of a tracial von Neumann algebra M. The following are equivalent:*

(1) There is no sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{U}(N)$ such that $\|E_P(xu_n y)\|_2 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for every $x, y \in M$;

(2) There is a N-P submodule $\mathcal{H}$ of $L^2(M)$ with $\dim_P(\mathcal{H}) < \infty$;

(3) There are nonzero projections $p \in N$, $f \in P$, a unital normal $*$-homomorphism $\theta : pNp \to fPf$, and a partial isometry $v \in M$ such that

$$\theta(x)v = vx \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in pNp, \quad v^*v \in (N' \cap M)p, \quad \text{and} \quad vv^* \in \theta(pNp)' \cap fMf.$$

If the above equivalent conditions hold, we say that N *intertwines into* P *inside* M, written $N \prec_M P$, or simply $N \prec P$ when there is no danger of confusion.

3. Deducing Primeness from an s-Malleable Deformation

In this section, we review how an s-malleable deformation can be used to prove primeness results using a technique introduced by Popa in [Po06b]. We define an *s-malleable deformation* of a tracial von Neumann algebra M as an inclusion $M \subset \tilde{M}$ into some tracial $\tilde{M}$, along with a continuous action $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{M})$, and $\beta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{M})$ such that $\beta|_M = \mathrm{id}$, $\beta^2 = \mathrm{id}$, and $\beta \circ \alpha_t = \alpha_{-t} \circ \beta$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

To exploit an s-malleable deformation, we will use Popa's transversality inequality from [Po06a], part (1) of the following lemma. We include as part (2) another well known inequality as we shall use the pair several times in combination.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{M})$, $\beta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{M})$ be an s-malleable deformation of $M \subset \tilde{M}$. Set $\delta_t(x) = \alpha_t(x) - E_M(\alpha_t(x))$ for $x \in M$. Then for all $x, y \in M$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

(1). $\|\delta_{2t}(x)\|_2 \leq 2\|\alpha_{2t}(x) - x\|_2 \leq 4\|\delta_t(x)\|_2$, *and*

(2). $\|[\delta_t(x), y]\|_2 \leq 2\|x\|\|\alpha_t(y) - y\|_2 + \|[x, y]\|_2$.

Proof. For (1),

$$\begin{aligned}\|\delta_t(x)\|_2 &\leq \|\alpha_t(x) - x\|_2 + \|x - \mathrm{E}_M(\alpha_t(x))\|_2 = \|\alpha_t(x) - x\|_2 + \|\mathrm{E}_M(x - \alpha_t(x))\|_2 \\ &\leq 2\|\alpha_t(x) - x\|_2,\end{aligned}$$

and since $\beta\alpha_t = \alpha_{-t}\beta$ and $\beta|_M = \mathrm{id}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\alpha_{2t}(x) - x\|_2 &= \|\alpha_t(x) - \alpha_{-t}(x)\|_2 \leq \|\alpha_t(x) - \mathrm{E}_M(\alpha_t(x))\|_2 + \|\alpha_{-t}(x) - \mathrm{E}_M(\alpha_t(x))\|_2 \\ &= \|\delta_t(x)\|_2 + \|\beta(\alpha_t(x) - \mathrm{E}_M(\alpha_t(x)))\|_2 = 2\|\delta_t(x)\|_2.\end{aligned}$$

For (2),

$$\begin{aligned}\|[\delta_t(x), y]\|_2 &= \|(1 - E_M)([\alpha_t(x), y])\|_2 \leq \|[\alpha_t(x), y]\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\alpha_t(x)y - \alpha_t(x)\alpha_t(y)\|_2 + \|[\alpha_t(x), \alpha_t(y)]\|_2 + \|\alpha_t(y)\alpha_t(x) - y\alpha_t(x)\|_2 \\ &\leq 2\|x\|\|\alpha_t(y) - y\|_2 + \|[x, y]\|_2\end{aligned}$$

□

We can now show how an s-malleable deformation can be used to prove primeness.

Theorem 3.2 (Popa's Spectral Gap Argument)**.** *Let M be a tracial von Neumann algebra with no amenable direct summand which admits an s-malleable deformation $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{M})$ for some tracial von Neumann algebra $\tilde{M} \supset M$. Suppose that the M-M bimodule ${}_M L^2(\tilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)_M$ is weakly contained in the coarse M-M bimodule and mixing relative to some abelian subalgebra $A \subset M$. Then there is a central projection $z \in \mathcal{Z}(M)$ such that*

(1) $\alpha_t \to \mathrm{id}$ *uniformly in* $\|\cdot\|_2$ *on the unit ball* $(Mz)_1$ *as* $t \to 0$, *and*

(2) $M(1 - z)$ *is prime.*

In particular, if the convergence $\alpha_t \to \mathrm{id}$ *as* $t \to 0$ *is not uniform, then* $M \not\cong N \overline{\otimes} Q$ *for any* N *and* Q *of type* II.

Proof. Using Zorn's Lemma, let $z \in \mathcal{Z}(M)$ denote the maximal central projection such that $\alpha_t \to \mathrm{id}$ uniformly in $\|\cdot\|_2$ on the unit ball $(Mz)_1$. Then (1) is satisfied and for any central projection $z' \leq 1-z$ we have $\alpha_t \to \mathrm{id}$ non-uniformly in $\|\cdot\|_2$ on $(Mz')_1$. Now suppose toward a contradiction that $M(1-z) = N \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} Q$ with N and Q not of type I. Since M has no amenable direct summand, we assume without loss of generality that Q also has no amenable direct summand. As previously, set $\delta_t(x) = \alpha_t(x) - \mathrm{E}_M(\alpha_t(x))$ for $x \in M$.

First suppose that $\alpha_t \to \mathrm{id}$ is not uniform in $\|\cdot\|_2$ on $(N)_1$. Then by part (1) of Lemma 3.1, $\delta_t \to 0$ is not uniform in $\|\cdot\|_2$ on $(N)_1$. Hence there is $\epsilon > 0$ and sequences $\{a_n\} \in (N)_1$, $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, with $t_n \to 0$ and $\|\delta_{t_n}(a_n)\|_2 > \epsilon$ for all n. For $x \in Q$, we have $[x, a_n] = 0$ and $\|\alpha_{t_n}(x) - x\|_2 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, so part (2) of Lemma 3.1 gives $\|[\delta_{t_n}(a_n), x]\|_2 \to 0$. We also have $\|x\delta_{t_n}(a_n)\|_2 \leq \|x\|_2$, so applying Lemma 2.3 with $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\tilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)$, and using our assumption that ${}_M L^2(\tilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)_M$ is weakly contained in the coarse M-M bimodule, there is a projection $q \in \mathcal{Z}(Q' \cap Q) = \mathcal{Z}(Q)$ such that

$$ {}_Q L^2(Qq)_{Qq} \prec {}_Q[(L^2(\tilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M))q]_{Qq} \prec {}_Q[L^2(M) \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L^2(M)q]_{Qq} \prec {}_Q L^2(Q) \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L^2(Qq)_{Qq} $$

and hence ${}_{Qq} L^2(Qq)_{Qq} \prec {}_{Qq} L^2(Qq) \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L^2(Qq)_{Qq}$, which contradicts the fact that Q has no amenable direct summand. Thus we must have $\alpha_t \to \mathrm{id}$ uniformly in $\|\cdot\|_2$ on $(N)_1$.

Next, since N is not type I, there is $z' \in \mathcal{Z}(N) \subset \mathcal{Z}(M)(1-z)$ such that Nz' is type II. Then since A is abelian and Nz' is type II, we have $Nz' \not\prec_M A$, so it follows from Theorem 2.6 that there is a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{U}(Nz')$ such that for each $x, y \in M$, $\|\mathrm{E}_A(xu_ny)\|_2 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Since ${}_M L^2(\tilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)_M$ is mixing relative to A, we have that $\langle u_n\delta_t(x), \delta_t(x)u_n\rangle \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for all $x \in M$. Note that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in (Qz')_1$ we have

$$ \|\delta_t(x) - z'\delta_t(x)\|_2 = \|(1 - \mathrm{E}_M)(\alpha_t(z'x) - z'\alpha_t(x))\|_2 \leq \|\alpha_t(z') - z'\|_2 $$

and so using both parts of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$ \begin{aligned} \|\alpha_{2t}(x) - x\|_2 &\leq 2\|\delta_t(x)\|_2 \leq 2\|\alpha_t(z') - z'\|_2 + 2\|z'\delta_t(x)\|_2 \\ &= 2\|\alpha_t(z') - z'\|_2 + \liminf_{n\to\infty} \left[2\|[u_n, \delta_t(x)]\|_2^2 + 4\mathrm{Re}\langle u_n\delta_t(x), \delta_t(x)u_n\rangle\right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2\|\alpha_t(z') - z'\|_2 + \liminf_{n\to\infty} \left[\sqrt{8}\|\alpha_t(u_n) - u_n\|_2\right] \\ &\leq (2 + \sqrt{8}) \sup_{a \in (N)_1} \|\alpha_t(a) - a\|_2 \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \to 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1} $$

As this convergence is independent of x, this shows that $\alpha_t \to \mathrm{id}$ uniformly in $\|\cdot\|_2$ on $(Qz')_1$.

Now fix any $\epsilon > 0$, and let $t_0 > 0$ be such that for $|t| < t_0$ we have $\|\alpha_t(x) - x\|_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{7}$ for all $x \in (N)_1 \cup (Qz')_1$. Then for $u \in \mathcal{U}(N), v \in \mathcal{U}(Qz')$ we have

$$ \begin{aligned} \|\alpha_t(u)\alpha_t(v)v^*u^* - z'\|_2 &\leq \|\alpha_t(u)\alpha_t(v)z' - \alpha_t(u)\alpha_t(v)\|_2 + \|\alpha_t(u)\alpha_t(v) - uv\|_2 \\ &\leq \|z' - \alpha_t(z')\|_2 + \|\alpha_t(u) - u\|_2 + \|\alpha_t(v) - v\|_2 < \frac{3\epsilon}{7} \end{aligned} $$

and so for $|t| < t_0$, the $\|\cdot\|_2$-closed convex hull K_t of the set $\{\alpha_t(u)\alpha_t(v)v^*u^* : u \in \mathcal{U}(N), v \in \mathcal{U}(Qz')\}$ has $\|k - z'\|_2 \leq \frac{3\epsilon}{7}$ for all $k \in K_t$. In particular, the unique element $k_t \in K_t$ of minimal $\|\cdot\|_2$ has $\|k_t - z'\|_2 \leq \frac{3\epsilon}{7}$. Since k_t is unique and $\alpha_t(u)K_tu^* = K_t$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(N) \cup \mathcal{U}(Qz')$, it follows that $\alpha_t(u)k_tu^* = k_t$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(N) \cup \mathcal{U}(Qz')$, and hence $\alpha_t(a)k_t = k_ta$ for all $a \in N \cup Qz'$. Then for any $a \in N$, $b \in Qz'$, we have

$$ \alpha_t(ab)k_t = \alpha_t(a)\alpha_t(b)k_t = \alpha_t(a)k_tb = k_tab, $$

and $Mz' = M(1-z)z' = (N\overline{\otimes}Q)z'$, so in fact $\alpha_t(x)k_t = k_tx$ for all $x \in Mz'$ and $|t| < t_0$. Thus for any $x \in (Mz')_1$ and $|t| < t_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\alpha_t(x) - x\|_2 &\leq \|\alpha_t(z'x) - z'\alpha_t(x)\|_2 + \|z'\alpha_t(x) - \alpha_t(x)k_t\|_2 + \|k_tx - x\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\alpha_t(z') - z'\|_2 + 2\|k_t - z'\|_2 \leq \frac{\epsilon}{7} + 2\cdot\frac{3\epsilon}{7} = \epsilon,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\alpha_t \to \mathrm{id}$ uniformly in $\|\cdot\|_2$ on $(Mz')_1$. But $z' \in \mathcal{Z}(M)$ with $z' \leq 1-z$, so this is a contradiction and we conclude that $M(1-z)$ is indeed prime.

In the particular case where the convergence $\alpha_t \to \mathrm{id}$ is not uniform in $\|\cdot\|_2$ on $(M)_1$, the above projection $z \in \mathcal{Z}(M)$ has $1-z \neq 0$. Suppose toward a contradiction that $M \cong N\overline{\otimes}Q$ with N and Q of type II. Then since $M(1-z)$ is prime by the above result, the decomposition $M(1-z) = N(1-z)\overline{\otimes}Q(1-z)$ forces either $N(1-z)$ or $Q(1-z)$ to be type I. Assume without loss of generality that $N(1-z)$ is type I. But then taking a nonzero abelian projection $p \in N(1-z)$, we would have a type II algebra N intertwining in M into an abelian algebra $Np \oplus \mathbb{C}(1-p)$, which is impossible. Thus $M \ncong N\overline{\otimes}Q$ with N and Q of type II. □

4. Gaussian Extension of $\mathcal{R}$ and s-Malleable Deformation of $L(\mathcal{R})$

In this section we construct the s-malleable deformation that will be used to prove the main result. In [PS09] and [Si10], Peterson and Sinclair used 1-cocycles for group representations to build deformations; we follow this spirit in the setting of pmp equivalence relations. To accomplish this, we generalize Bowen's *Bernoulli shift extension of* $\mathcal{R}$ (see [Bo12]) to the *Gaussian extension* $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ *of* $\mathcal{R}$ arising from an orthogonal representation π of $\mathcal{R}$. A 1-cocycle for π will then give rise to the desired s-malleable deformation of $L(\mathcal{R}) \subset L(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$.

4.1. **Gaussian extension of $\mathcal{R}$.** Let π be an orthogonal representation of $\mathcal{R}$ on a real Hilbert bundle $X * \mathcal{H}$, and let $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal fundamental sequence of sections for $X * \mathcal{H}$. Let

$$(\Omega_x, \nu_x) = \prod_{i=1}^{\dim\mathcal{H}_x} (\mathbb{R}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-s^2/2}ds),$$

and define $\omega_x : \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(\{\xi_i(x)\}_{i=1}^{\dim\mathcal{H}_x}) \to \mathcal{U}(L^\infty(\Omega_x))$ by

$$\omega_x\left(\sum_{n=1}^{k} a_n\xi_{i_n}(x)\right) = \exp(i\sqrt{2}\sum_{n=1}^{k} a_nS_{i_n}^x)$$

where S_j^x is the coordinate function $S_j^x((s_i)_{i=1}^{\dim\mathcal{H}_x}) = s_j$ for $j \leq \dim\mathcal{H}_x$.

One can show that ω_x extends to a $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_x} - \|\cdot\|_2$ continuous map $\omega_x : \mathcal{H}_x \to \mathcal{U}(L^\infty(\Omega_x))$ satisfying

$$\tau(\omega_x(\xi)) = e^{-\|\xi\|^2}, \quad \omega_x(\xi+\eta) = \omega_x(\xi)\omega_x(\eta), \quad \omega_x(\xi)^* = \omega_x(-\xi), \quad \text{for all } \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_x. \quad (4.1)$$

For $x \in X$, one can show that the linear span $D_x = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\{\omega_x(\xi)\}_{\xi\in\mathcal{H}_x})$ has $D_x'' = \overline{D_x}^{\mathrm{wot}} = L^\infty(\Omega_x)$. For $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}$, define a $*$-homomorphism $\rho(x,y) : D_y \to L^\infty(\Omega_x)$ by

$$\rho(x,y)\omega_y(\xi) = \omega_x(\pi(x,y)\xi),$$

which is well defined and $\|\cdot\|_2$-isometric since (4.1) implies

$$\tau(\omega_y(\eta)^*\omega_y(\xi)) = \tau(\omega_x(\pi(x,y)\eta)^*\omega_x(\pi(x,y)\xi)) \quad \text{for all} \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_y.$$

In particular, $\rho(x,y)$ is τ-preserving, and so extends to a $*$-isomorphism $\rho(x,y) : L^\infty(\Omega_y) \to L^\infty(\Omega_x)$. Let $\theta_{(x,y)} : \Omega_y \to \Omega_x$ be the induced measure space isomorphism such that $\rho(x,y)f = f \circ \theta_{(x,y)}^{-1}$ for all $f \in L^\infty(\Omega_y)$.

We now consider $X * \Omega$ as measurable bundle with σ-algebra generated by the maps $(x,r) \mapsto \omega_x(\sum_{i\in I} a_i\xi_i(x))(r)$ for $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ finite and $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$. A measure $\mu * \nu$ on $X * \Omega$ is then given by $[\mu * \nu](E) = \int_X \nu_x(E_x)d\mu(x)$, where $E_x = \{s \in \Omega_x : (x,s) \in E\}$. We define the *Gaussian extension of* $\mathcal{R}$ to be the equivalence relation $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ on $(X * \Omega, \mu * \nu)$ given by

$$((x,r),(y,s)) \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \iff (x,y) \in \mathcal{R} \text{ and } \theta_{(y,x)}(r) = s \tag{4.2}$$

leaving the reader to check that $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is a countable pmp equivalence relation.

For $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$, we can define $\tilde{g} \in [\tilde{\mathcal{R}}]$ by $\tilde{g}(x,r) = (gx, \theta_{(gx,x)}(r))$. One can then check that the map $au_g \mapsto au_{\tilde{g}}$ for $a \in L^\infty(X)$, $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$ imbeds $L(\mathcal{R})$ into $L(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$ and we identify u_g and $u_{\tilde{g}}$ henceforth. Moreover, noting that $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \bigcup_{g\in[\mathcal{R}]} \text{graph}(\tilde{g})$, it follows that

$$L(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}) = \{L^\infty(X * \Omega), \{u_{\tilde{g}} : g \in [\mathcal{R}]\}\}'' = \{L^\infty(X * \Omega), L(\mathcal{R})\}'' \subset \mathcal{B}(L^2(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})) \tag{4.3}$$

4.2. s-Malleable deformation of $L(\mathcal{R})$.

Now consider a 1-cocycle b for the representation π on $X * \mathcal{H}$ above and let $M = L(\mathcal{R})$ and $\tilde{M} = L(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$. Using the cocycle relation for b, one checks that

$$c_t((x,r),(y,s)) = \omega_x(tb(x,y))(r) \tag{4.4}$$

defines a one-parameter family $\{c_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ of multiplicative 1-cocyles of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$, and hence as in (2.2), a one-parameter family $\{\psi_{c_t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \subset \text{Aut}(\tilde{M})$ which we will denote by $\{\alpha_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$. Moreover, $c_{t_1}c_{t_2} = c_{t_1+t_2}$ for all $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, and hence $t \mapsto \alpha_t$ defines an action $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \text{Aut}(\tilde{M})$. For any $a \in L^\infty(X * \Omega)$, $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\alpha_t(au_g) - au_g\|_2^2 = \|f_{c_t,g}au_g - au_g\|_2^2 \le \|a\|^2\|f_{c_t,g} - 1\|_2^2 &= \|a\|^2 \left[2 - 2\text{Re }\tau(f_{c_t,g})\right] \\ &= 2\|a\|^2 \left[1 - \text{Re }\int_X \tau(\omega_x(tb(x,g^{-1}x)))d\mu(x)\right] \\ &= 2\|a\|^2\text{Re }\int_X \left[1 - e^{-t^2\|b(x,g^{-1}x)\|^2}\right] d\mu(x) \to 0 \text{ as } t \to 0,\end{aligned}$$

where the convergence follows from Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. When combined with (4.3), this shows that $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \text{Aut}(\tilde{M})$ is a continuous action when $\text{Aut}(\tilde{M})$ is given the topology of pointwise $\|\cdot\|_2$ convergence.

Next, one can check that defining $\beta_x(\omega_x(\xi)) = \omega_x(-\xi)$ for $x \in X$ gives rise to $\beta_x \in \text{Aut}(L^\infty(\Omega_x))$, which leads to $\beta \in \text{Aut}(L^\infty(X * \Omega))$ defined by $\beta(a)(x,r) = \beta_x(a(x,\cdot))(r)$ for $a \in L^\infty(X * \Omega)$. Then noting that $u_g\beta(a)u_g^* = \beta(u_gau_g^*)$ for all $a \in L^\infty(X * \Omega)$, $g \in [\tilde{\mathcal{R}}]$, one can check that β extends to an $*$-automorphism of $\tilde{M}$ by the rule $\beta(au_g) = \beta(a)u_g$. We have $\beta^2 = \text{id}$, $\beta|_M = \text{id}$, and $\beta \circ \alpha_t = \alpha_{-t} \circ \beta$ since one can check that $\beta(f_{c_t,g}) = f_{c_{-t},g}$ for each $g \in [\tilde{\mathcal{R}}]$. Hence $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \text{Aut}(\tilde{M})$ is an s-malleable deformation of $M \subset \tilde{M}$.

5. PRIMENESS OF $L(\mathcal{R})$

In this section, we prove the main result, Theorem A. Before doing so, however, we pause to further analyze the maps $\rho(x,y) : L^\infty(\Omega_y) \to L^\infty(\Omega_x)$ defined in Section 4.1. Note first that each can be extended (and then restricted) to a unitary

$$\rho(x,y) : L^2(\Omega_y) \ominus \mathbb{C} \to L^2(\Omega_x) \ominus \mathbb{C}$$

Setting $\mathcal{K}_x = L^2(\Omega_x) \ominus \mathbb{C}$ for $x \in X$, we now form the Hilbert bundle $X * \mathcal{K}$ with the σ-algebra determined by fundamental sections $\omega_0(\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty)$, where $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is as in Section 4.1, and $[\omega_0(\eta)](x) = \omega_x(\eta(x)) - e^{-\|\eta(x)\|^2}$ for $\eta \in S(X * \mathcal{H})$. Noting that $\rho(x,y)\rho(y,z) = \rho(x,z)$ for all $(x,y),(y,z) \in \mathcal{R}$, we may then consider ρ as a representation of $\mathcal{R}$ on $X * \mathcal{K}$. The following lemma makes explicit the relationship between ρ and π.

Lemma 5.1. *For each $x \in X$, let $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_x = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty (\mathcal{H}_x \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})^{\odot n}$. The representation ρ of $\mathcal{R}$ on $X * \mathcal{K}$ is unitarily equivalent to the representation $\hat{\pi} = \oplus_{n=1}^\infty \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{\odot n}$ of $\mathcal{R}$ on $X * \hat{\mathcal{H}}$.*

Proof. For $x \in X$, define $U_x : D_x \to \mathbb{C} \oplus \hat{\mathcal{H}}_x$ by $\omega_x(\xi) \mapsto e^{-\|\xi\|^2} \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(i\sqrt{2}\xi)^{\odot n}}{n!}$ for $\xi \in H_x$, which is well defined and isometric since for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_x$, we have

$$\left\langle e^{-\|\xi\|^2} \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(i\sqrt{2}\xi)^{\odot n}}{n!}, e^{-\|\eta\|^2} \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(i\sqrt{2}\eta)^{\odot n}}{n!} \right\rangle = e^{-\|\xi\|^2} e^{-\|\eta\|^2} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{2^n}{(n!)^2} \langle \xi^{\odot n}, \eta^{\odot n} \rangle$$

$$= e^{-\|\xi\|^2} e^{-\|\eta\|^2} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{2^n}{(n!)^2} n! (\langle \xi, \eta \rangle)^n = e^{-\|\xi\|^2} e^{-\|\eta\|^2} e^{2\langle \xi, \eta\rangle} = \tau(\omega_x(\eta)^* \omega_x(\xi))$$

Certainly $\mathbb{C} \subseteq U_x(D_x)$, and one can check that $\xi_1 \odot \cdots \odot \xi_n \in \overline{U_x(D_x)}$ for all $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \mathcal{H}_x$ by induction on n. Hence we extend this map to a unitary $U_x : L^2(\Omega_x) \to \mathbb{C} \oplus \hat{\mathcal{H}}_x$.

Then for $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}$, it is immediate from the definitions of $\hat{\pi}$ and ρ that

$$[\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \hat{\pi}](x,y) U_y \omega_y(\xi) = U_x \omega_x(\pi(x,y)\xi) = U_x \rho(x,y) \omega_y(\xi)$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_y$, and hence

$$[\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \hat{\pi}](x,y) U_y a = U_x \rho(x,y) a$$

for all $a \in L^2(\Omega_y)$ since $L^2(\Omega_y) = \overline{\omega_y(\mathcal{H}_y)}$. In particular, since U_y fixes $\mathbb{C}$ for each $y \in X$, the lemma follows. ☐

5.1. $L(\mathcal{R})$-$L(\mathcal{R})$ bimodules arising from representations of $\mathcal{R}$.

We will need one more tool before the proof of Theorem A. Again let $M = L(\mathcal{R})$ and $\tilde{M} = L(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$, and write A for $L^\infty(X) \subset M$. Note that a representation π of $\mathcal{R}$ on $X * \mathcal{H}$ induces a group representation $\tilde{\pi} : [\mathcal{R}] \to \mathcal{U}(\int_X^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x d\mu(x))$ defined by $\tilde{\pi}_g(\xi)(x) = \pi(x, g^{-1}x)\xi(g^{-1}x)$. Letting

$$\mathcal{H}^\pi := \left[\int_X^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x d\mu(x)\right] \otimes_A L^2(\mathcal{R}), \tag{5.1}$$

we would like to define an M-M bimodule structure on $\mathcal{H}^\pi$. The intuition comes from the proof of the following analogue of Fell's absorption principle:

Lemma 5.2. *Let π be a representation of $\mathcal{R}$ on a measurable Hilbert bundle $X * \mathcal{H}$. Then $\pi \otimes \lambda$ is unitarily equivalent to $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes \lambda$ for any orthonormal fundamental sequence of sections $\mathcal{S}$ for $X * \mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. For $(x,y),(x,z) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $n, m \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \pi(x,y)\xi_n(y) \otimes 1_{\{y\}}, \pi(x,z)\xi_m(z) \otimes 1_{\{z\}} \rangle &= \langle \pi(x,y)\xi_n(y), \pi(x,z)\xi_m(z) \rangle \cdot 1_{\{y\}}(z) \\
&= \langle \pi(x,y)\xi_n(y), \pi(x,y)\xi_m(y) \rangle \cdot 1_{\{y\}}(z) \\
&= \langle \xi_n(y), \xi_m(z) \rangle \cdot 1_{\{y\}}(z) \\
&= \langle \xi_n(y) \otimes 1_{\{y\}}, \xi_m(z) \otimes 1_{\{z\}} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_x \overline{\otimes} \ell^2([x]_\mathcal{R}) = \overline{\text{span}\{\xi_n(x) \otimes 1_{\{y\}} : (x,y) \in \mathcal{R}, n \geq 1\}}$ for each $x \in X$, the above calculation shows that the formula

$$U_x(\xi_n(x) \otimes 1_{\{y\}}) = \pi(x,y)\xi_n(y) \otimes 1_{\{y\}} \text{ for } (x,y) \in \mathcal{R}, n \geq 1$$

gives rise to a well defined unitary $U_x \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_x \overline{\otimes} \ell^2([x]_\mathcal{R}))$ (note that U_x is surjective since $\{\pi(x,y)\xi_n(y)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a basis for $\mathcal{H}_x$ for $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}$) for each $x \in X$. Moreover, for $(x,y), (x,z) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_z([\text{id}_\mathcal{S} \otimes \lambda](z,x) \cdot \xi_n(x) \otimes 1_{\{y\}}) &= U_z(\xi_n(z) \otimes 1_{\{y\}}) = \pi(z,y)\xi_n(y) \otimes 1_{\{y\}} \\ &= [\pi \otimes \lambda](z,x) \cdot \pi(x,y)\xi_n(y) \otimes 1_{\{y\}} = [\pi \otimes \lambda](z,x) \cdot U_x(\xi_n(x) \otimes 1_{\{y\}}) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $[\pi \otimes \lambda](z,x)U_x = U_z[\text{id}_\mathcal{S} \otimes \lambda](z,x)$ for $(z,x) \in \mathcal{R}$.

For measurability, take any $g, h \in [\mathcal{R}]$, $n, m \geq 1$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} x \mapsto &\langle U_x(\xi_n(x) \otimes 1_{\{g^{-1}x\}}), \xi_m(x) \otimes 1_{\{h^{-1}x\}} \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi(x, g^{-1}x)\xi_n(g^{-1}x) \otimes 1_{\{g^{-1}x\}}, \xi_m(x) \otimes 1_{\{h^{-1}x\}} \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi(x, g^{-1}x)\xi_n(g^{-1}x), \xi_m(x) \rangle \cdot 1_{\{y \in X : g^{-1}y = h^{-1}y\}}(x) \end{aligned}$$

is the product of two measurable maps. □

Lemma 5.3. *The Hilbert space* $\mathcal{H}^\pi$ *has an* $L(\mathcal{R})$*-*$L(\mathcal{R})$ *bimodule structure which satisfies*

$$au_g \cdot (\xi \otimes_A \eta) \cdot x = \tilde{\pi}_g(\xi) \otimes_A au_g\eta x \tag{5.2}$$

for $a \in A$, $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$, $x \in M$, $\eta \in L^2(M)$, *and* $\xi \in \int_X^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x d\mu$.

Proof. We have already from the construction of Connes' fusion tensor that $\mathcal{H}^\pi$ is an A-$L(\mathcal{R})$ bimodule with the right action satisfying (5.2). The proposed left and right actions certainly commute, so it is enough to show that the left action in (5.2) makes $\mathcal{H}^\pi$ into a left Hilbert $L(\mathcal{R})$-module. For each $n \geq 1$, set $p_n = 1_{\{x \in X : \dim \mathcal{H}_x \geq n\}} \in A$. If $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}$, then $\mathcal{H}_x = \pi(x,y)\mathcal{H}_y$ so $\dim \mathcal{H}_x = \dim \mathcal{H}_y$ and therefore $p_n \in \mathcal{Z}(L(\mathcal{R}))$. Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be an orthonormal fundamental sequence of sections for $X * \mathcal{H}$ and note that $p_n = \|\xi_n(\cdot)\|$. Set $\mathcal{K} = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty p_n L^2(\mathcal{R})$. We wish to define a unitary $U : \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty p_n L^2(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathcal{H}^\pi$. For any $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$, $a \in A$, and $n \geq 1$, let $\eta_{n,a,g} \in \mathcal{K}$ denote the vector which is $p_n a u_g$ in the nth summand and 0 elsewhere (note that $p_n a u_g \in p_m L^2(\mathcal{R})$ for any $1 \leq m \leq n$, so we must be careful with our notation). Then $\mathcal{K} = \overline{\text{span}\{\eta_{n,a,g} : a \in A, g \in [\mathcal{R}], n \geq 1\}}$ and we define $U(\eta_{n,a,g}) = \tilde{\pi}_g(\xi_n) \otimes_A au_g$. Then for $a, b \in A$, $g, h \in [\mathcal{R}]$, and $n \geq 1$, since $\mathrm{E}_A(u_g u_h^*) = 1_{\{x \in X : g^{-1}x = h^{-1}x\}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\pi}_g(\xi_n) \otimes_A au_g, \tilde{\pi}_h(\xi_n) \otimes_A bu_h \rangle &= \tau(\langle \tilde{\pi}_g(\xi_n)(\cdot), \tilde{\pi}_h(\xi_n)(\cdot) \rangle a \mathrm{E}_A(u_g u_h^*) b^*) \\ &= \tau([u_g \|\xi_n(\cdot)\|^2 u_g^*] a \mathrm{E}_A(u_g u_h^*) b^*) \\ &= \tau(p_n a u_g u_h^* b^*) \\ &= \langle \eta_{n,a,g}, \eta_{n,b,h} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and if $m \neq n$, then $\langle \tilde{\pi}_g(\xi_n)(\cdot), \tilde{\pi}_h(\xi_m)(\cdot) \rangle \mathrm{E}_A(u_g u_h^*) = 0$ and therefore

$$\langle \tilde{\pi}_g(\xi_n) \otimes_A au_g, \tilde{\pi}_h(\xi_m) \otimes_A bu_h \rangle = 0 = \langle \eta_{n,a,g}, \eta_{m,b,h} \rangle.$$

Thus U extends to a well defined unitary $U : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{H}^\pi$ (U is surjective since $\int_X^\oplus \mathcal{H}_x d\mu = \overline{\text{span}\{\tilde{\pi}_g(\xi_n)a : a \in A, n \geq 1\}}$ for each $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$).

Now since $\mathcal{K} = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n L^2(\mathcal{R})$ is a left $L(\mathcal{R})$-module by left multiplication in each coordinate, $\mathcal{H}^{\pi}$ becomes a left $L(\mathcal{R})$-module under the action $x \cdot \eta = U(x \cdot U^*(\eta))$. Moreover, for $a, b \in A$, $g, h \in [\mathcal{R}]$, $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} au_g \cdot (\tilde{\pi}_h(\xi_n) \otimes_A bu_h) &= au_g \cdot U(\eta_{n,b,h}) = U(au_g \cdot \eta_{n,b,h}) = U(\eta_{n,a(u_g b u_g^*),gh}) \\ &= \tilde{\pi}_{gh}(\xi_n) \otimes_A a(u_g b u_g^*)u_{gh} = \tilde{\pi}_g(\tilde{\pi}_h(\xi_n)) \otimes_A au_g bu_h. \end{aligned}$$

For any $a, b \in A$, $g, h \in [\mathcal{R}]$, $\xi \in \int_X^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_x d\mu$, write $\xi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\pi}_h(\xi_n) a_n$ with $a_n \in A$. Then using the above,

$$\begin{aligned} au_g \cdot (\xi \otimes_A bu_h) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} au_g \cdot (\tilde{\pi}_h(\xi_n)a_n \otimes_A bu_h) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\pi}_g(\tilde{\pi}_h(\xi_n)) \otimes_A au_g a_n bu_h) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\pi}_g(\tilde{\pi}_h(\xi_n))(u_g a_n u_g^*) \otimes_A au_g bu_h) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\pi}_g(\tilde{\pi}_h(\xi_n)a_n) \otimes_A au_g bu_h) \\ &= \tilde{\pi}_g(\xi) \otimes_A au_g bu_h. \end{aligned}$$

Since elements of the form bu_h span a dense subspace of $L^2(\mathcal{R})$, it follows that the left action of $L(\mathcal{R})$ satisfies (5.2). □

Given two representations π and ρ of $\mathcal{R}$ with π weakly contained in (resp. unitarily equivalent to) ρ, one can check that $\mathcal{H}^{\pi}$ is weakly contained in (resp. unitarily equivalent to) $\mathcal{H}^{\rho}$ as M-M bimodules. If a representation π is a mixing, then $\mathcal{H}^{\pi}$ is mixing relative to A.

5.2. **Proof of Theorem A.** We can now prove the main primeness result.

Theorem A. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a countable pmp equivalence relation with no amenable direct summand which admits an unbounded 1-cocycle into a mixing orthogonal representation weakly contained in the regular representation. Then $L(\mathcal{R}) \ncong N \overline{\otimes} Q$ for any type* II *von Neumann algebras N and Q and hence $\mathcal{R} \ncong \mathcal{R}_1 \times \mathcal{R}_2$ for any pmp $\mathcal{R}_i$ which have a.e. equivalence class infinite. In particular, if $\mathcal{R}$ is ergodic then $L(\mathcal{R})$ is prime.*

Proof. Consider the s-malleable deformation $M \subset \tilde{M}$, $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{M})$ constructed in Section 4. Note that the representation $\hat{\pi} = \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{\odot n}$ is mixing and weakly contained in the regular representation λ of $\mathcal{R}$, since π has these properties.

By identifying $L^2(X * \Omega) \ominus L^2(X)$ with $\int_X^{\oplus} [L^2(\Omega_x) \ominus \mathbb{C}] d\mu(x)$, one can check that $L^2(\tilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M) \cong \mathcal{H}^{\rho}$ as M-M bimodules. The latter is then unitarily equivalent to $\mathcal{H}^{\hat{\pi}}$ by Lemma 5.1, and since $\hat{\pi}$ is mixing, we have that $L^2(\tilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)$ is mixing relative to A. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}^{\hat{\pi}} \prec \mathcal{H}^{\lambda}$ since $\hat{\pi} \prec \lambda$, and one can check that $\mathcal{H}^{\lambda} \cong L^2(M) \otimes_A L^2(M)$ as M-M bimodules. Since A is amenable, the latter is weakly contained in the coarse M-M bimodule.

Since b is unbounded, there is $\delta > 0$ such that for all $R > 0$, there is $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$ with $\mu(\{\|b(x, g^{-1}x)\| \geq R\}) \geq \delta$. If we had $\alpha_t \to \mathrm{id}$ uniformly on $(M)_1$, there would be t_0 such that $\|\mathrm{E}_M(\alpha_{t_0}(u_g))\|_2^2 > 1 - \frac{\delta}{2}$ for all $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$. But taking $R > 0$ large enough that $e^{-2t_0^2 R^2} < \frac{\delta}{2}$, and $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$ with $\mu(\{\|b(x, g^{-1}x)\| \geq R\}) \geq \delta$, we would have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{\delta}{2} &< \|\mathrm{E}_M(\alpha_{t_0}(u_g))\|_2^2 = \int_X e^{-2t_0^2 \|b(x,g^{-1}x)\|^2} d\mu \\ &\leq \mu(\{\|b(x, g^{-1}x)\|^2 < R\}) + e^{-2t_0^2 R^2} \mu(\{\|b(x, g^{-1}x)\|^2 \geq R\}) \qquad (5.3) \\ &< 1 - \delta + \frac{\delta}{2} \cdot 1 = 1 - \frac{\delta}{2} \end{aligned}$$

which is false. Hence $\alpha_t \to \mathrm{id}$ is not uniform on $(M)_1$, and so by Theorem 3.2 we conclude that $M \not\cong N \overline{\otimes} Q$ for N and Q of type II.

In particular, if $\mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{R}_1 \times \mathcal{R}_2$, then $L(\mathcal{R}) \cong L(\mathcal{R}_1) \overline{\otimes} L(\mathcal{R}_2)$, so there is $j \in \{1,2\}$ such that $L(\mathcal{R}_j)$ is not type II and hence $\mathcal{R}_j$ does not have a.e. equivalence class infinite. □

5.3. **Remark.** Theorem A (as well as Theorem D) in fact holds with $L(\mathcal{R})$ replaced by $L(\mathcal{R}, \sigma)$, which is constructed as $L(\mathcal{R})$, but "twisted" by some 2-cocycle $\sigma : [\mathcal{R}] \times [\mathcal{R}] \to \mathcal{U}(L^\infty(X))$, in the sense that for $g, h \in [\mathcal{R}]$ the unitaries $u_g, u_h, u_{gh} \in L(\mathcal{R}, \sigma)$ satisfy $u_g u_h = \sigma(g,h) u_{gh}$. Indeed, with $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and (4.4) exactly as before, the formula (2.2) now gives rise to an s-malleable deformation of $L(\mathcal{R}, \sigma) \subset L(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, \sigma)$. Similarly, (5.2) now defines an $L(\mathcal{R}, \sigma)$-$L(\mathcal{R}, \sigma)$ bimodule and the necessary identifications in the proof of Theorem A hold.

There is good reason for considering the algebras $L(\mathcal{R}, \sigma)$. The subalgebra $L^\infty(X) \subset L(\mathcal{R}, \sigma)$ is a *Cartan subalgebra*, i.e., it is maximal abelian and its normalizer generates $L(\mathcal{R}, \sigma)$ as a von Neumann algebra. Such subalgebras have been the object of intense study (see [Io12] for a detailed survey). Feldman and Moore showed in [FM75b] that a Cartan subalgebra $A \subset M$ of a tracial von Neumann algebra M always arises as $L^\infty(X) \subset L(\mathcal{R}, \sigma)$ for some 2-cocycle σ and measured equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ on a standard probability space X.

6. Unique Prime Factorization

In this section we obtain a unique prime factorization result for a class of type II_1 factors in the spirit of [OP03]. It is important to note that for II_1 factors N, Q, and any $t > 0$ we have $N \overline{\otimes} Q \cong N^t \overline{\otimes} Q^{1/t}$, so unique factorizations are considered modulo amplifications as well as unitary conjugacy.

6.1. An Obstruction to Unique Factorization.

We will need two lemmas before our proof of Theorem B. Both are well-known, but we include their proofs for completeness.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $N \subset M$ be an inclusion of tracial von Neumann algebras. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and projection $p \in M$ satisfying $\|p - E_N(p)\|_2 < \epsilon$, there exists a projection $q \in N$ such that $\|p - q\|_2 < \epsilon + \sqrt{10}\epsilon^{1/3}$.*

Proof. Note that

$$\|\mathrm{E}_N(p)^2 - \mathrm{E}_N(p)\|_2 \leq \|\mathrm{E}_N(p)^2 - p\mathrm{E}_N(p)\|_2 + \|p(\mathrm{E}_N(p) - p)\|_2 + \|p - \mathrm{E}_N(p)\|_2 < 3\epsilon$$

and therefore for any $\delta > 0$, Chebyshev's inequality gives

$$\tau(1_{\{(\delta, 1-\delta)\}}(\mathrm{E}_N(p))) \leq \tau(1_{\{|t^2 - t| > \delta^2\}}(\mathrm{E}_N(p))) \leq \frac{1}{\delta^4}\|\mathrm{E}_N(p)^2 - \mathrm{E}_N(p)\|_2^2 \leq \frac{9\epsilon^2}{\delta^4}$$

so that $q = 1_{\{|t-1| \leq \delta\}}(\mathrm{E}_N(p))$ satisfies $\|\mathrm{E}_N(p) - q\|_2^2 \leq \frac{9\epsilon^2}{\delta^4} + \delta^2$. Taking $\delta = \epsilon^{1/3}$ then gives

$$\|p - q\|_2 \leq \|p - \mathrm{E}_N(p)\|_2 + \|\mathrm{E}_N(p) - q\|_2 \leq \epsilon + \sqrt{10}\epsilon^{1/3}$$

□

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset M$ be an asymptotically central sequence of projections. Then there exist commuting projections $\{q_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset M$ which are asymptotically central with $\|p_{n_k} - q_k\|_2 \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ for some subsequence $\{p_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$.*

Proof. Let $q_1 = p_1$. Then given $q_1, \dots, q_k$, commuting projections, we let $A = \{q_1, \dots, q_k\}''$, and note that $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{C}e_i$ for projections $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ which are minimal in A and such that $\sum_{i=1}^m e_i = 1$. Let n_{k+1} be large enough so that $\|p_{n_{k+1}}e_i - e_i p_{n_{k+1}}\|_2^2 < \frac{1}{mk}$ for each $1 \le i \le m$. Then $A' \cap M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m e_i M e_i$ and $E_{A'\cap M}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m e_i x e_i$ for $x \in M$ and hence

$$\|p_{n_{k+1}} - \mathrm{E}_{A'\cap M}(p_{n_{k+1}})\|_2^2 = \|p_{n_{k+1}} - \sum_{i=1}^m e_i p_{n_{k+1}} e_i\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \|e_i p_{n_{k+1}} - e_i p_{n_{k+1}} e_i\|_2^2 \tag{6.1}$$

$$\le \sum_{i=1}^m \|e_i p_{n_{k+1}} - p_{n_{k+1}} e_i\|_2^2 < \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{mk} = \frac{1}{k} \tag{6.2}$$

Then Lemma 6.1 gives $q_{k+1} \in A' \cap M$ with $\|p_{n_{k+1}} - q_{k+1}\|_2 \le \frac{1}{k} + \frac{\sqrt{10}}{k^{1/3}}$. Thus $\|p_{n_k} - q_k\|_2 \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ from which it further follows that the sequence $\{q_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is asymptotically central. □

Theorem B. *Let M_1 and M_2 be $\|\cdot\|_2$-separable* II_1 *factors with property Gamma and set $M = M_1 \overline{\otimes} M_2$. Then there is an approximately inner automorphism $\phi \in \overline{Inn(M)}$ such that $\phi(M_i) \not\prec M_j$ for any $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.*

Proof. Since M_1 and M_2 have Γ, there exist asymptotically central sequences of projections $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset M_1$, $\{q_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset M_2$ such that $\tau(p_k), \tau(q_k) \to \frac{1}{2}$ as $k \to \infty$. Using Lemma 6.2 we may assume that $[p_k, p_j] = [q_k, q_j] = 0$ for all $k, j \ge 1$. Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset M$ be a $\|\cdot\|_2$-dense sequence.

Claim: There are sequences $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{U}(M_1)$, $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{U}(M_2)$ and a subsequence $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n \ge 1$, the asymptotically central unitaries $w_n = 1 - 2p_{k_n}q_{k_n}$ satisfy

$$\|w_n v_n w_n^* - (1 - 2q_{k_n})v_n\|_2 \le \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{and} \quad \|w_n u_n w_n^* - (1 - 2p_{k_n})u_n\|_2 \le \frac{1}{2^n}, \tag{6.3}$$

$$\|w_i v_n w_i^* - v_n\|_2 \le \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{and} \quad \|w_i u_n w_i^* - u_n\|_2 \le \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le i < n, \tag{6.4}$$

$$\|w_n v_i w_n^* - v_i\|_2 \le \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{and} \quad \|w_n u_i w_n^* - u_i\|_2 \le \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le i < n, \tag{6.5}$$

$$\|w_n x_i w_n^* - x_i\|_2 \le \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le i < n. \tag{6.6}$$

Before we prove the claim, let us prove the theorem assuming it holds. For $n \ge 1$, let $W_n = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$. Then $W_n x_i W_n^*$ is $\|\cdot\|_2$-Cauchy for any $i \ge 1$, since for any $m \ge n > i$,

$$\|W_m x_i W_m^* - W_n x_i W_n^*\|_2 = \|w_{n+1} \cdots w_m x_i w_m^* \cdots w_{n+1}^* - x_i\|_2 \le \sum_{j=n+1}^m \frac{1}{2^j} < \frac{1}{2^n}$$

using (6.6). Similarly, (6.6) implies that $W_n^* x_i W_n$ is also[4] $\|\cdot\|_2$-Cauchy for any $i \ge 1$, so we may define $\phi \in \overline{\mathrm{Inn}(M)}$ by $\phi(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} W_n x W_n^*$ for $x \in M$, where the convergence is in the SOT. Then noting that $[w_n, w_m] = 0$ for all $n, m \ge 1$, and using (6.4) and (6.5), for each

---

[4] In fact $W_n = W_n^*$ here, but it is useful to note this as an implication of (6.6) since the construction $W_n = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$ can be done without arranging $w_n = w_n^*$ and $[w_n, w_m] = 0$ for all $n, m \ge 1$.

$n \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi(v_n) - w_n v_n w_n^*\|_2 &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \|w_1 w_2 \cdots w_k v_n w_k^* \cdots w_2^* w_1^* - w_n v_n w_n^*\|_2 \\
&\leq \limsup_{k\to\infty} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|w_i v_n w_i^* - v_n\|_2 + \sum_{i=n+1}^{k} \|w_i v_n w_i^* - v_n\|_2 \right] \\
&\leq \limsup_{k\to\infty} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^n} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{k} \frac{1}{2^i} \right] \leq \frac{n-1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^n} = \frac{n}{2^n}
\end{aligned}$$

which, combined with (6.3), gives

$$\|\phi(v_n) - (1-2q_{k_n})v_n\|_2 \leq \frac{n+1}{2^n} \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geq 1. \tag{6.7}$$

We then see that for any $y \in M_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|\mathrm{E}_{M_1}(y\phi(v_n))\|_2 = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|E_{M_1}(y(1-2q_{k_n})v_n)\|_2 \\
&= \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|\tau(y(1-2q_{k_n}))v_n\|_2 = \limsup_{n\to\infty} |\tau(y)(1-2\tau(q_{k_n}))| \\
&= |\tau(y)(1-2\cdot\frac{1}{2})| = 0
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $\tau(yy_n) - \tau(y)\tau(y_n) \to 0$ for any $y \in M_2$ and any asymptotically central sequence $\{y_n\} \subset M_2$, which follows from the uniqueness of the trace. Since $M = M_1 \overline{\otimes} M_2$, this calculation then implies that $\|\mathrm{E}_{M_1}(a\phi(v_n)b)\|_2 \to 0$ for all $a, b \in M$ and hence $\phi(M_1) \not\prec M_1$. The same argument for the sequence $\{\phi(u_n)\}$ shows that $\phi(M_2) \not\prec M_2$.

On the other hand, note that $\phi(p_k) = p_k$ for all $k \geq 1$, so that for each $x \in M_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{k\to\infty} \|\mathrm{E}_{M_2}(\phi(1-2p_k)x)\|_2 = \limsup_{k\to\infty} \|\mathrm{E}_{M_2}((1-2p_k)x)\|_2 \\
&= \limsup_{k\to\infty} |\tau((1-2p_k)x)| = \limsup_{k\to\infty} |(1-2\tau(p_k))\tau(x)| \\
&= |(1-2\cdot\frac{1}{2})\tau(x)| = 0
\end{aligned}$$

so that $\|\mathrm{E}_{M_2}(a\phi(1-2p_k)b)\|_2 \to 0$ for all $a, b \in M$ and hence $\phi(M_1) \not\prec M_2$. Similarly, analyzing the sequence $\{\phi(1-2q_k)\}$ shows that $\phi(M_2) \not\prec M_1$.

Proof of Claim: We construct the necessary sequences recursively. Therefore, suppose we are given $\{k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}\}$, $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}\}$, and $\{u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}\}$ such that (6.3), (6.4), (6.6), and (6.5) are satisfied (allowing these sets to be empty for the base case $n = 1$). We construct k_n, v_n, and u_n as follows.

Letting $B_1 = \{p_{k_1}, \ldots, p_{k_{n-1}}\}''$, we know that B_1 is abelian and hence of the form $B_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{C}e_i$ for projections $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ which are minimal in B_1 and such that $\sum_{i=1}^m e_i = 1$. Then $B_1' \cap M_1^\omega = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m e_i M_1^\omega e_i$ and therefore $\mathcal{Z}(B_1' \cap M_1^\omega) = B_1$ since M_1 is a factor. Let p denote the image of the sequence $\{p_k\}$ in $M_1' \cap M_1^\omega$, noting that $\tau(p) = \frac{1}{2} = \tau(1-p)$. Then $p \sim (1-p)$ in $B_1' \cap M_1^\omega$ since

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathcal{Z}(B_1'\cap M_1^\omega)}(p) = \mathrm{E}_{B_1}(p) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\tau(pe_i)}{\tau(e_i)} e_i = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\tau(p)\tau(e_i)}{\tau(e_i)} e_i \\
= \tau(p) = \tau(1-p) = \mathrm{E}_{\mathcal{Z}(B_1'\cap M_1^\omega)}(1-p).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus there is $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{U}(B_1' \cap M_1^\omega)$ such that $\tilde{v}p\tilde{v}^* = 1-p$. Setting $B_2 = \{q_{k_1}, \ldots, q_{k_{n-1}}\}''$ and letting q denote the image of $\{q_k\}$ in $M_2' \cap M_2^\omega$, the same argument shows that there is $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{U}(B_2' \cap M_2^\omega)$ such that $\tilde{u}q\tilde{u}^* = 1 - q$. Lifting $\tilde{v}$ and $\tilde{u}$ to sequences of unitaries $\{\tilde{v}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{U}(M_1)$, $\{\tilde{u}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{U}(M_2)$ which asymptotically commute with B_1 and B_2, we can then find k_n large enough that $v_n = \tilde{v}_{k_n}$ and $u_n = \tilde{u}_{k_n}$ have

$$\|v_n p_{k_n} v_n^* - (1 - p_{k_n})\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \qquad \|u_n q_{k_n} u_n^* - (1 - q_{k_n})\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \tag{6.8}$$

$$\|v_n p_{k_i} v_n^* - p_{k_i}\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_n q_{k_i} u_n^* - q_{k_i}\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i < n, \tag{6.9}$$

and we further assume that k_n is large enough that (6.6) and (6.5) are satisfied (which can be done since $\{(1 - 2p_k q_k)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is asymptotically central). Noting that

$$[1 - 2p_{k_n} q_{k_n}][1 - 2(1 - p_{k_n})q_{k_n}] = 1 - 2q_{k_n},$$

from (6.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n v_n w_n^* - [1 - 2q_{k_n}]v_n\|_2 &= \|[1 - 2p_{k_n} q_{k_n}][1 - 2(v_n p_{k_n} v_n^*)q_{k_n}] - [1 - 2q_{k_n}]\|_2 \\ &\leq \|2(1 - p_{k_n})q_{k_n} - 2(v_n p_{k_n} v_n^*)q_{k_n}\|_2 \leq 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{2^n}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly $\|w_n u_n w_n^* - [1 - 2p_{k_n}]u_n\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$ so that (6.3) is satisfied. For $1 \leq i < n$, we use (6.8) to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n w_i^* v_n^* - w_i^*\|_2 &= \|[1 - 2(v_n p_{k_i} v_n^*)q_{k_i}] - [1 - 2p_{k_i} q_{k_i}]\|_2 \\ &\leq 2\|v_n p_{k_i} v_n^* - p_{k_i}\|_2 \leq 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{2^n}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly $\|u_n w_i^* u_n^* - w_i^*\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$ so that (6.4) holds.

□

6.2. **Unique Prime Factorization via s-Malleable Deformation.** The principle challenge in the proof of the unique prime factorization in Theorem 6.4 is controlling the Cartan subalgebras of each factor. The following proposition will be critical for this reason:

Proposition 6.3. *Let $M = N \overline{\otimes} Q = M_1 \overline{\otimes} M_2$ be a II_1 factor without property Gamma, and suppose that $N \prec_M A \overline{\otimes} M_2$ for some Cartan subalgebra A of M_1. Then there is $t > 0$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ such that $uN^t u^* \subset M_2$ under the identification $N \overline{\otimes} Q = N^t \overline{\otimes} Q^{1/t}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.6 there are projections $p \in N$, $f \in A \overline{\otimes} M_2$, a unital normal $*$-homomorphism $\theta : pNp \to f(A \overline{\otimes} M_2)f$, and a nonzero partial isometry $v \in M$, such that

$$\theta(x)v = vx \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in pNp, \quad v^*v \in (N' \cap M)p, \quad \text{and} \quad vv^* \in \theta(pNp)' \cap fMf \tag{6.10}$$

Let $L = \theta(pNp)' \cap fMf$, $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}(L)$, and $e = vv^*$. Note that $Af \subset L$ and therefore $\mathcal{Z} \subset (Af)' \cap fMf = f(A \overline{\otimes} M_2)f$. From (6.10) it follows that

$$v^* \mathcal{Z} v \subset \mathcal{Z}(v^*v(N' \cap M)v^*v) = \mathcal{Z}(Q)v^*v = \mathbb{C}v^*v$$

and hence $\mathcal{Z}e = v(\mathbb{C}v^*v)v^* = \mathbb{C}e$. Therefore setting $z = C(e)$ (the support of e in $\mathcal{Z}$), and taking any $z' \in \mathcal{Z}$, $z' \leq z$, we have $z'e \in \mathbb{C}e$ and hence $z'e \in \{0, e\}$ which implies that $z' \in \{0, z\}$. Thus Lz is a finite factor. Hence there is $e' \in Lz$, $e' \leq e$ with $\tau_{Lz}(e') = \tau(e')/\tau(z) = \frac{1}{n}$ for some integer n. Let $v_1 = e'v$ and note that for any $x \in pNp$ we have

$$v_1^* v_1 x = v^* e' v x = v^* e' \theta(x) v = v^* \theta(x) e' v = x v^* e' v = x v_1^* v_1 \tag{6.11}$$

and hence $v_1^* v_1 \in (pNp)' \cap pMp = Qp$, so let $q \in Q$ be a projection such that $v_1^* v_1 = q \otimes p$.

Let $s = \tau(q)\tau(z)/\tau(e')$ and identify $Q\,\overline{\otimes}\,N = Q^s\,\overline{\otimes}\,N^{1/s}$ such that $\mathbb{C}q\,\overline{\otimes}\,pNp = \mathbb{C}q'\,\overline{\otimes}\,p'N^{1/s}p'$ and $qQq\,\overline{\otimes}\,\mathbb{C}p = q'Q^sq'\,\overline{\otimes}\,\mathbb{C}p'$ for projections $q' \in Q^s$, $p' \in N^{1/s}$ with $\tau(q') = \tau(q)/s = \tau(e')/\tau(z) = \frac{1}{n}$ and $\tau(p') = \tau(p)s = \tau(z)$.

Since Q^s and Lz are factors, let $w_1, \dots, w_n \in Q^t$ and $u_1, \dots, u_n \in Lz$ be partial isometries with $\sum_{j=1}^n w_jw_j^* = 1$, $\sum_{j=1}^n u_ju_j^* = z$ and $w_j^*w_j = q'$, $u_j^*u_j = e'$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then setting $w = \sum_{j=1}^n u_jv_1w_j^*$ we have $w^*w = p'$ and $ww^* = z$, and $wN^{1/s}w^* \subset z(A\,\overline{\otimes}\,M_2)z$.

Cutting w to the right by a projection in N under p', we may assume that $\tau(p') = \tau(z) = \frac{1}{m}$ for some integer m. By [Po81, Theorem 3.2 and Remark 3.5.2], we can find a copy of the hyperfinite II$_1$ factor R, with $A \subset R \subset M_1$ and $R' \cap M_1 = \mathbb{C}$. Note that $Af \subset L \implies \mathcal{Z} \subset (Af)' \cap fMf = f(A\,\overline{\otimes}\,M_2)f \implies z \in A\,\overline{\otimes}\,M_2 \subset R\,\overline{\otimes}\,M_2$. Since $R\,\overline{\otimes}\,M_2$ is a factor, there are partial isometries $\tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_m \in R\,\overline{\otimes}\,M_2$ with $\tilde{u}_j^*\tilde{u}_j = z$ for each j and $\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{u}_j\tilde{u}_j^* = 1$. Taking partial isometries $\tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_m \in N$ with $\tilde{w}_j^*\tilde{w}_j = z$ for each j and $\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{w}_j\tilde{w}_j^* = 1$, and setting $u_0 = \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{u}_jw\tilde{w}_j^*$ we have $u_0 \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ and $u_0N^{1/s}u_0^* \subset R\,\overline{\otimes}\,M_2$.

Now write $R = \bigotimes_{j=1}^\infty M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and set $R_k = \bigotimes_{j=k+1}^\infty M_2(\mathbb{C})$, so that $R = \left[\bigotimes_{j=1}^k M_2(\mathbb{C})\right]\overline{\otimes}\,R_k$ for any $k \geq 1$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $k \geq 1$ such that $\|b - \mathrm{E}_{u_0Q^su_0^*}(b)\|_2 < \epsilon$ for all $b \in \mathcal{U}(R_k)$. Indeed if not, there would be $\epsilon > 0$ and $\{b_k\} \subset \mathcal{U}(R)$ with $b_k \in \mathcal{U}(R_k)$ and $\|b_k - \mathrm{E}_{u_0Q^su_0^*}(b_k)\|_2 \geq \epsilon$ for all k. Then $\{b_k\}$ would be an asymptotically central sequence in R and hence in $R\,\overline{\otimes}\,M_2$. In particular, $\{b_k\}$ would asymptotically commute with $u_0N^{1/s}u_0$ which does have property Gamma since M is non-Gamma. But this would imply that $\|b_k - \mathrm{E}_{u_0Q^su_0^*}(b_k)\|_2 \to 0$ by Connes characterization of property Gamma in [Co75], a contradiction.

In particular, taking $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ we find $k \geq 1$ such that $\|b - \mathrm{E}_{u_0Q^su_0^*}(b)\|_2 < \frac{1}{2}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{U}(R_k)$ which implies that $R_k \prec u_0Q^su_0^*$. It follows that $R = M_{2^k}(R_k)$ has $R \prec u_0Q^su_0^*$. Using Lemma 3.5 of [Va07], we pass to relative commutants to find that $u_0N^{1/s}u_0^* \prec R' \cap M = M_2$ and then $M_1 \prec u_0Q^su_0^*$.

Then by Proposition 12 of [OP03], since $M_1' \cap M = M_2$ is a factor, there is $r > 0$ and $\tilde{u}_0 \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ such that $\tilde{u}_0M_1\tilde{u}_0^* \subset u_0Q^{sr}u_0^*$ after identifying $u_0(N^{1/s}\,\overline{\otimes}\,Q^s)u_0^* \cong u_0(N^{1/sr}\,\overline{\otimes}\,Q^{sr})u_0^*$. Setting $t = 1/sr$ and $u = \tilde{u}_0^*u_0$, we have $uN^tu^* = (\tilde{u}_0^*u_0Q^{sr}u_0^*\tilde{u}_0)' \cap M \subset M_1' \cap M = M_2$. □

Theorem 6.4. *Let $M_1, \dots, M_k$ be* II$_1$ *factors without property Gamma, each with an s-malleable deformation $\{\alpha_t^i\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{M}_i)$ for some tracial von Neumann algebras $\tilde{M}_i \supset M_i$. Suppose that for each i, the M_i-M_i bimodule ${}_{M_i}L^2(\tilde{M}_i) \ominus L^2(M_i)_{M_i}$ is weakly contained in the coarse M_i-M_i bimodule and mixing relative to some abelian subalgebra $A_i \subset M_i$. Assume that the convergence $\alpha_t^i \to \mathrm{id}$ is not uniform in $\|\cdot\|_2$ on $(M_i)_1$ for any i. Then M_i is prime for each i, and*

(1). If $M = M_1\,\overline{\otimes}\,M_2\,\overline{\otimes}\,\dots\,\overline{\otimes}\,M_k = N\,\overline{\otimes}\,Q$ for tracial factors N, Q, there must be a partition $I_N \cup I_Q = \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $t > 0$ such that $N^t = \bigotimes_{i\in I_N} M_i$ and $Q^{1/t} = \bigotimes_{i\in I_Q} M_i$ modulo unitary conjugacy in M.

(2). If $M = M_1\,\overline{\otimes}\,M_2\,\overline{\otimes}\,\dots\,\overline{\otimes}\,M_k = P_1\,\overline{\otimes}\,P_2\,\overline{\otimes}\,\cdots\,\overline{\otimes}\,P_m$ for II$_1$ *factors $P_1, \dots, P_m$ and $m \geq k$, then $m = k$, each P_i is prime, and there are $t_1, \dots, t_k > 0$ with $t_1t_2\cdots t_k = 1$ such that after reordering indices and conjugating by a unitary in M we have $M_i = P_i^{t_i}$ for all i.*

(3). In (2), the assumption $m \geq k$ can be omitted if each P_i is assumed to be prime.

Proof. We prove (1) by induction on k. Note that by Theorem 3.2, we know that each M_i is prime, so the case $k = 1$ can only occur if either Q or N is finite dimensional. Without loss of generality, assume $N = M_n(\mathbb{C})$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then $t = 1/n$ does the job with $I_N = \emptyset$.

Now suppose that $k \geq 2$ and for convenience set $M = N \overline{\otimes} Q$. Since M is nonamenable, we assume without loss of generality that Q is nonamenable. For each i, we extend $\alpha_t^i \in \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{M}_i)$ to $\tilde{M}^i = M_1 \overline{\otimes} \cdots \overline{\otimes} \tilde{M}_i \overline{\otimes} \cdots \overline{\otimes} M_k$ by the rule $\alpha_t^i|_{M_j} = \mathrm{id}$ for $j \neq i$. Thus for each i we obtain an s-malleable deformation $\{\alpha_t^i\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of $M \subset \tilde{M}^i$. For $x \in M$, set $\delta_t^i(x) = \alpha_t^i(x) - \mathrm{E}_M(\alpha_t^i(x))$. For each $I \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$, let $M_I = \bigotimes_{j \in I} M_j$ and $\hat{M}_I = \bigotimes_{j \notin I} M_j$ so that $M = M_I \overline{\otimes} \hat{M}_I$.

We claim that there must be i such that $\alpha_t^i \to \mathrm{id}$ uniformly in $\|\cdot\|_2$ on $(N)_1$. Suppose not. Then using Lemma 3.1, for each i we find $\epsilon_i > 0$ and sequences $\{x_n^i\} \subset (N)_1$, $\{t_n^i\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $t_n^i \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\xi_n^i = \delta_{t_n^i}^i(x_n^i) \in L^2(\tilde{M}^i) \ominus L^2(M)$ satisfying $\|\xi_n^i\| \geq \epsilon_i$, $\|x\xi_n^i\| \leq \|x\|_2$, and $\|x\xi_n^i - \xi_n^i x\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for each $x \in Q$. Since $N = Q' \cap M$ is a factor, applying Lemma 2.3 gives

$$ {}_M L^2(M)_Q \prec {}_M L^2(\tilde{M}^i) \ominus L^2(M)_Q \tag{6.12}$$

But since ${}_{M_i}[L^2(\tilde{M}_i) \ominus L^2(M_i)]_{M_i} \prec {}_{M_i} L^2(M_i) \overline{\otimes} L^2(M_i)_{M_i}$ for each i, we also have

$$ {}_M [L^2(\tilde{M}^i) \ominus L^2(M)]_M \prec {}_M L^2(M) \otimes_{\hat{M}_i} L^2(M)_M \tag{6.13}$$

for each i. Then combining (6.12) and (6.13) we have ${}_M L^2(M)_Q \prec {}_M L^2(M) \otimes_{\hat{M}_i} L^2(M)_Q$, so that Q is amenable relative to $\hat{M}_i$ in M for each i. But note that for any $I, J \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, the subalgebras M_I and M_J satisfy $M = \mathcal{N}_M(M_I)''$ and $[e_{M_I}, e_{M_J}] = 0$, so that after $k-1$ applications of 2.5 we find that Q is amenable relative to $\bigcap_{i=1}^k \hat{M}_i = \mathbb{C}$, which contradicts the nonamenability of Q. Thus there must indeed be some $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ such that $\alpha_t^j \to \mathrm{id}$ uniformly in $\|\cdot\|_2$ on $(N)_1$.

We have that $L^2(\tilde{M}^i) \ominus L^2(M)$ is mixing relative to $A_i \overline{\otimes} \hat{M}_i$ since $L^2(\tilde{M}_i) \ominus L^2(M_i)$ is mixing relative to A_i. It follows that there can be no sequence $\{u_n\} \subset (N)_1$ with $\|\mathrm{E}_{A_i \overline{\otimes} \hat{M}_i}(x u_n y)\|_2 \to 0$ for each $x, y \in M$. If there were, we would conclude, just as in (3.1), that $\alpha_t^i \to \mathrm{id}$ uniformly on $(Q)_1$, and then on all of $(M)_1$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.2. This would then contradict the assumption that the convergence $\alpha_t^i \to \mathrm{id}$ is not uniform on $(M_i)_1$.

Thus $N \prec_M A_i \overline{\otimes} \hat{M}_i$ by Theorem 2.6. Then by Proposition 6.3, there is $t > 0$ such that after decomposing $M = N^t \overline{\otimes} Q^{1/t}$ and conjugating by a unitary, we have $N^t \subset \hat{M}_i$. Set $P = (N^t)' \cap \hat{M}_i = Q^{1/t} \cap \hat{M}_i$ so that $\hat{M}_i = N^t \overline{\otimes} P$. If P is type $\mathrm{I_n}$ for some n, it follows that $\hat{M}_i = N^{nt}$ and $M_i = Q^{1/nt}$ and the proof is done. Otherwise, P is type II_1 and by the inductive hypothesis, there is a partition $I_N \cup I_P = \{1, \ldots, k\} \setminus \{i\}$ and $s > 0$ such that $N^{st} = M_{I_N}$ and $P^{1/s} = M_{I_P}$ modulo unitary conjugation. Then since $Q^{1/st} = (N^{st})' \cap M = M_{I_N}' \cap M = M_i \overline{\otimes} M_{I_P}$, setting $I_Q = I_P \cup \{i\}$ concludes the proof of (1).

We also prove (2) by induction on k. The case $k = 1$ follows immediately from the primeness of M_1. For $k \geq 2$, we apply (1) with $N = P_1 \overline{\otimes} \cdots \overline{\otimes} P_{m-1}$ and $Q = P_m$, to find a partition $I_N \cup I_Q = \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $t > 0$ such that after conjugating by a unitary in M we have $P_1^t \overline{\otimes} \cdots \overline{\otimes} P_{m-1}^t = M_{I_N}$ and $P_m^{1/t} = M_{I_Q}$. Then $m - 1 \geq |I_N|$ so we apply the inductive hypothesis to conclude that $|I_N| = m-1$ and find $s_1, \ldots, s_{m-1}$ with $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{m-1} = 1$ such that after reordering and unitary conjugation (in N^t) we have $M_i = P_i^{ts_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$. But

$$ m \geq k = |I_N| + |I_Q| = m - 1 + |I_Q| \implies |I_Q| = 1 \text{ and } m = k, \tag{6.14}$$

so setting $t_m = 1/t$ and $t_i = ts_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ finishes the proof of (2).

For (3), we proceed just as for (2), except that we replace (6.14) by the observation that $P_m^{1/t} = M_{I_Q}$ implies $|I_Q| = 1$ when P_m is assumed to be prime.

□

6.3. **Unique Prime Factorization for Equivalence Relations.** In order to deduce Theorem D from Theorem 6.4, we prove the following proposition:

Proposition C. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a strongly ergodic countable pmp equivalence relation which is nonamenable and admits an unbounded 1-cocycle into a mixing orthogonal representation weakly contained in the regular representation. Then $L(\mathcal{R})$ is prime and does not have property Gamma.*

Proof. That $M = L(\mathcal{R})$ is prime is simply a special case of Theorem A. Again, consider the s-malleable deformation $M \subset \tilde{M}$, $\{\alpha_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{M})$ constructed in Section 4, and suppose toward a contradiction that M has property Gamma. Then there is a sequence $\{u_n\} \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ with $\tau(u_n) = 0$ for all n and $\|u_n x - x u_n\|_2 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for each $x \in M$. Then for any $u \in \mathcal{N}_M(A)$ we have

$$\|u\mathrm{E}_A(u_n)u^* - \mathrm{E}_A(u_n)\|_2 = \|\mathrm{E}_A(uu_nu^*) - \mathrm{E}_A(u_n)\|_2 \le \|uu_nu^* - u_n\|_2 \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

Since the sequence $\mathrm{E}_A(u_n)$ is bounded in norm and $M = \mathcal{N}_M(A)''$, it follows that $\|x\mathrm{E}_A(u_n) - \mathrm{E}_A(u_n)x\|_2 \to 0$ for each $x \in M$. Since $\mathcal{R}$ is strongly ergodic, it follows that $\|\mathrm{E}_A(u_n)\|_2 = \|\mathrm{E}_A(u_n) - \tau(\mathrm{E}_A(u_n))\|_2 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Fix any $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$ with $g^2 = e$. Note $z_g = \mathrm{E}_A(u_g)$ is a projection given by $z_g = 1_{\{s\in X : gs = s\}} = z_{g^{-1}}$. Moreover, $u_g^*\mathrm{E}_A(u_g) \in A' \cap M = A \implies u_g^* z_g = \mathrm{E}_A(u_g^* z_g) = \mathrm{E}_A(u_g^*)z_g = z_g$. Hence

$$\|\mathrm{E}_A(u_n u_g^*)z_g\|_2 = \|\mathrm{E}_A(u_n u_g^* z_g)\|_2 = \|\mathrm{E}_A(u_n)z_g\|_2 \le \|\mathrm{E}_A(u_n)\|_2 \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty \tag{6.15}$$

Moreover, since $1 - z_g = 1_{\{s\in X : gs \neq s\}}$, for any nonzero $z \le 1 - z_g$ with $u_g z u_g^* = z$, we can find nonzero $z' \le z$ such that $u_g z' u_g^* \le z - z'$ (if not we would have $u_g z' u_g^* = z'$ for all $z' \le z$ and then $z \le z_g$). Then because $g^2 = e$, it follows that we can find a projection $z \in A$ such that $1 - z_g = z + u_g z u_g^*$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathrm{E}_A(u_n u_g^*)(1 - z_g)\|_2^2 &= \|\mathrm{E}_A(u_n u_g^*)(z + u_g z u_g^*)\|_2^2 \\
&= \|\mathrm{E}_A(u_n u_g^*)z\|_2^2 + \|\mathrm{E}_A(u_n u_g^*)u_g z u_g^*\|_2^2 \\
&= \|\mathrm{E}_A(u_n u_g^*)(z - u_g z u_g^*)\|_2^2 = \|z\mathrm{E}_A(u_n u_g^*) - \mathrm{E}_A(u_n u_g^* u_g z u_g^*)\|_2^2 \\
&= \|\mathrm{E}_A(z u_n u_g^* - u_n z u_g^*)\|_2^2 \le \|z u_n - u_n z\|_2^2 \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \qquad (6.16)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (6.15) and (6.16) we see that $\|\mathrm{E}_A(u_n u_g^*)\|_2 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for each $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$ with $g^2 = e$. By Feldman and Moore [FM75a], we know that $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if $y = gx$ for some $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$ with $g^2 = e$, so that $L(\mathcal{R}) = \{au_g : a \in A, g \in [\mathcal{R}], g^2 = e\}''$. It therefore follows that $\|\mathrm{E}_A(xu_ny)\|_2 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for any $x, y \in M$.

From the proof of Theorem A, we know that ${}_M L^2(\tilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)_M$ is mixing relative to A, so this implies that $\langle u_n\delta_t(x), \delta_t(x)u_n\rangle \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for each $x \in M$. We also know that $\alpha_t \to \mathrm{id}$ is not uniform on $(M)_1$, and hence by part (1) of Lemma 3.1 there is $\epsilon > 0$ and sequences $\{x_k\} \subset (M)_1$, $\{t_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, $t_k \to 0$, such that $\|\delta_{t_k}(x_k)\|_2 \ge \epsilon$ for all k. Then using Lemma 3.1, for any k we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon^2 \le \|\delta_{t_k}(x_k)\|_2^2 &= \liminf_{n\to\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2}\|[u_n, \delta_{t_k}(x_k)]\|_2^2 + \mathrm{Re}\langle u_n\delta_{t_k}(x_k), \delta_{t_k}(x_k)u_n\rangle\right] \\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\liminf_{n\to\infty}\, [2\|\alpha_{t_k}(u_n) - u_n\|_2 + \|[u_n, x_k]\|_2]^2 \le 8\liminf_{n\to\infty} \|\delta_{t_k/2}(u_n)\|_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

Thus setting $s_k = \frac{t_k}{2}$ for each k we can find $n_k \ge k$ such that $\|\delta_{s_k}(u_{n_k})\|_2 \ge \frac{\epsilon}{4}$. Then using Lemma 3.1 again, for any $x \in M$,

$$\|[\delta_{s_k}(u_{n_k}), x]\|_2 \le 2\|\alpha_{s_k}(x) - x\|_2 + \|[u_{n_k}, x]\|_2 \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

Since we also have $\|x\delta_{t_k/2}(u_{n_k})\|_2 \leq \|x\|_2$ for all k, we apply Lemma 2.3 to find that ${}_M L^2(M)_M \prec {}_M L^2(\tilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)_M$. But since we know from the proof of Theorem A that ${}_M L^2(\tilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)_M \prec {}_M L^2(M) \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L^2(M)_M$, this implies that M is amenable, a contradiction. □

Combining Theorem 6.4 with Proposition C and the proof of Theorem A, we get Theorem D immediately. We prove Corollary E below:

Proof of Corollary E. For (1), let $A_i \subset L(\mathcal{R}_i)$ and $B_i \subset L(S_i)$ denote the canonical Cartan algebras of the factors. By [FM75b], the hypothesis leads to a normal $*$-isomorphism $M = L(\mathcal{R}_1) \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L(\mathcal{R}_2) \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} \ldots \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L(\mathcal{R}_k) \cong L(S_1) \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L(S_2)$ which identifies $A_1 \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} A_2 \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} \cdots \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} A_k = B_1 \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} B_2$. Applying Theorem D, we find $t > 0$, $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$, and an integer $1 \leq m < k$ such that after reordering the indices we have $uL(S_1)^t u^* = L(\mathcal{R}_1) \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L(\mathcal{R}_2) \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} \ldots \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L(\mathcal{R}_m)$ and $uL(S_2)^{1/t} u^* = L(\mathcal{R}_{m+1}) \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L(\mathcal{R}_{m+2}) \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} \ldots \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L(\mathcal{R}_k)$. Setting $A = A_1 \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} \cdots \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} A_k$, we have $uB_1^t u^* \prec_M A$ (as $u^*(uB_1^t u^*)u \subset A$) which implies that $uB_1^t u^* \prec_{uL(S_1)^t u^*} A_1 \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} \cdots \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} A_m$. Indeed, if there were $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{U}(uB_1^t u^*)$ with $\|\mathrm{E}_{A_1 \overline{\otimes} \cdots \overline{\otimes} A_m}(xu_n y)\|_2 \to 0$ for all $x, y \in uL(S_1)^t u^*$, one can check that it would give $\|\mathrm{E}_A(xu_n y)\|_2 \to 0$ for all $x, y \in M$ as well. Then since $uB_1^t u^*$ and $A_1 \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} \cdots \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} A_m$ are both Cartan subalgebras of $uL(S_1)^t u^*$, we know as in [Po01] that there is $v_1 \in \mathcal{U}(uL(S_1)^t u^*)$ such that $v_1 u B_1^t u^* v_1^* = A_1 \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} \cdots \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} A_m$. Thus ad $v_1 u$ is an isomorphism of $L(S_1^t) \cong L(S_1)^t$ onto $L(\mathcal{R}_1 \times \mathcal{R}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{R}_m)$ which identifies B_1^t and $A_1 \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} \cdots \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} A_m$. A second application of [FM75b] then gives $S_1^t \cong \mathcal{R}_1 \times \mathcal{R}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{R}_m$. Similarly, one identifies $B_2^{1/t}$ and $A_{m+1} \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} \cdots \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} A_k$ to conclude that $S_2^{1/t} \cong \mathcal{R}_{m+1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{R}_k$.

We prove (2) by induction on k. The case $k = 1$ follows immediately from Theorem A. For $k \geq 2$, we apply (1) to find $t > 0$ and an integer $1 \leq j < k$ such that after reordering indices, $S_1^t \times \cdots \times S_{m-1}^t \cong \mathcal{R}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{R}_j$ and $S_m^{1/t} \cong \mathcal{R}_{j+1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{R}_k$. Then $m - 1 \geq j$ so we apply the inductive hypothesis to conclude that $j = m - 1$ and find $s_1, \ldots, s_{m-1}$ with $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{m-1} = 1$ such that after reordering we have $\mathcal{R}_i = S_i^{ts_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$. Finally, we have $0 < k - j \leq m - (m-1) = 1$, and so $k = j + 1$, $m = k$, and $S_m^{1/t} \cong \mathcal{R}_m$. □

7. Application to Measure Equivalent Groups

The tools developed in the previous sections lend themselves easily to the measure equivalence of groups, a notion first introduced by Gromov [Gr91]. Countable groups Γ_1 and Γ_2 are called *measure equivalent (ME)*, written $\Gamma_1 \overset{\mathrm{ME}}{\sim} \Gamma_2$, if there is a Lebesgue measure space (Y, ν) and commuting free measure preserving actions $\Gamma_i \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, which each admit a finite measure fundamental domain.

Measure equivalence is closely related to stable orbit equivalence. Recall that two probability measure preserving actions $\Gamma_i \curvearrowright (X_i, \mu_i)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, on standard probability spaces (X_i, μ_i) are *stably orbit equivalent (SOE)* if for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$ we can choose a measurable subset $E_i \subset X_i$ meeting the orbit of a.e. $x \in X_i$, such that the restricted equivalence relations are isomorphic, i.e. $\mathcal{R}_1|_{E_1} \cong \mathcal{R}_2|_{E_2}$ where $\mathcal{R}_i = \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_i \curvearrowright X_i)$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Then $\Gamma \overset{\mathrm{ME}}{\sim} \Lambda$ if and only if Γ and Λ admit SOE free actions. This equivalence was proved by Furman in [Fu99] where it is attributed to Zimmer and Gromov, and the form stated here (that the actions can be taken to be free) was proved in [Ga00].

Gaboriau showed in [Ga02] that measure equivalent groups have proportional ℓ^2 Betti numbers, i.e., if $\Gamma \overset{\mathrm{ME}}{\sim} \Lambda$ there is $\lambda > 0$ such that $\beta_n(\Gamma) = \lambda \beta_n(\Lambda)$ for all n. In particular, if $\beta_1(\Gamma) > 0$ then Γ cannot be measure equivalent to a product of infinite groups (as $\beta_1 = 0$ for a product of infinite groups). The following theorem strengthens this conclusion since we know from [PT07] that if $\beta_1(\Gamma) > 0$ then Γ is nonamenable and admits an unbounded 1-cocycle for the left regular representation (which is mixing).

Theorem F. *Let Γ be a countable nonamenable group which admits an unbounded 1-cocycle into a mixing orthogonal representation weakly contained in the left regular representation. Then $\Gamma \overset{ME}{\not\sim} \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ for any infinite groups Γ_1, Γ_2.*

Proof. Suppose that $\Gamma \overset{\text{ME}}{\sim} \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ for groups Γ_1, Γ_2. Then there are SOE free actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$. Letting $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$ and $\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \curvearrowright Y)$, this means there are measurable $E \subset X$, $F \subset Y$ meeting a.e. orbit and such that $\mathcal{R}|_E \cong \mathcal{R}'|_F$. We may assume that $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}'$ are ergodic, since if not, we replace $\mu|_E \cong \nu|_F$ by a measure in the ergodic decomposition of $\mathcal{R}|_E \cong \mathcal{R}'|_F$ and then extend this measure to $\tilde{\mu}$ on X and $\tilde{\nu}$ on Y using the fact that $E \subset X$, $F \subset Y$ meet a.e. orbit. Then for $t_1 = \mu(E)$, $t_2 = \nu(F)$, we have $\mathcal{R}^{t_1} \cong (\mathcal{R}')^{t_2}$, and hence $\mathcal{R}^{t_1/t_2} \cong \mathcal{R}'$.

Set $t = t_1/t_2$, $M = L(\mathcal{R}^t)$, and let (X_t, μ_t) denote the underlying probability space of $\mathcal{R}^t$. Since $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is free, we see from (2.7) that $\mathcal{R}$ admits an unbounded 1-cocycle b into a mixing orthogonal representation π weakly contained in the regular representation. Let π^t and b^t be the amplifications as in (2.10). Then, as in Section 4, we construct from π^t and b^t an imbedding $M \subset \tilde{M}$ and s-malleable deformation $\{\alpha_s\}_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \subset \text{Aut}(\tilde{M})$, $\beta \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{M})$. As in the proof of Theorem A, we know that $L^2(\tilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)$ is weakly contained in the coarse M-M bimodule and mixing relative to the abelian subalgebra $A = L^\infty(X_t)$. Thus M satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.2, and we need only modify its proof slightly.

We know that $\mathcal{R}$ (and hence $\mathcal{R}^t$) is nonamenable since Γ is nonamenable and $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is free. It follows that either Γ_1 or Γ_2 must be nonamenable, so assume without loss of generality that Γ_2 is nonamenable. Since $\mathcal{R}^t \cong \mathcal{R}'$, we have an isomorphism $M \cong L^\infty(Y) \rtimes (\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2)$ which identifies $A = L^\infty(X_t)$ and $L^\infty(Y)$. We therefore consider the commuting subalgebras $L(\Gamma_1), L(\Gamma_2) \subset M$. Then just as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we must have $\alpha_s \to \text{id}$ uniformly in $\|\cdot\|_2$ on the unit ball of $L(\Gamma_1)$, since otherwise we would have

$$ {}_{L(\Gamma_2)}L^2(L(\Gamma_2))_{L(\Gamma_2)} \prec {}_{L(\Gamma_2)}L^2(\widetilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)_{L(\Gamma_2)} \prec {}_{L(\Gamma_2)}L^2(L(\Gamma_2)) \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L^2(L(\Gamma_2))_{L(\Gamma_2)} $$

contradicting the nonamenability of Γ_2.

Assuming toward a contradiction that Γ_1 is also infinite, take a sequence $\{u_{g_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \Gamma_1$. From the freeness of the action it follows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\text{E}_A(x u_{g_n} y)\|_2 = 0$ for each $x, y \in M$. Then just as in (3.1), combining the sequence $\{u_{g_n}\}$ with the mixingness of $L^2(\widetilde{M^t}) \ominus L^2(M^t)$ relative to A gives $\alpha_s \to \text{id}$ uniformly in $\|\cdot\|_2$ on the unit ball of $L(\Gamma_2)$.

Therefore for any $\epsilon > 0$, we can find $s_0 > 0$ such that for $|s| < s_0$ we have $\|\alpha_s(x) - x\|_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ for all $x \in L(\Gamma_1) \cup L(\Gamma_2)$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$. Then for $|s| < s_0$, the $\|\cdot\|_2$-closed convex hull K_s of the set $\{\alpha_s(u_g)\alpha_s(u_h)u_g^* u_h^* : g \in \Gamma_1, h \in \Gamma_2\}$ has a unique element $k_s \in K_s$ of minimal $\|\cdot\|_2$ satisfying $\|k_s - 1\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.

For $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and $(g, h) \in \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ using the facts that $\alpha_s(a) = a$, $[u_g, u_h] = 0$ and $u_g, u_h \in \mathcal{N}_M(A)$, one can check that $\alpha_s(a u_g u_h) K_s (a u_g u_h)^* = K_s$. From the uniqueness of k_s it then follows that $\alpha_s(a u_g u_h) k_s (a u_g u_h)^* = k_s$ and hence $\alpha_s(x) k_s = k_s x$ for all $x \in M$. Then

$$ \|\alpha_s(x) - x\|_2 \leq \|\alpha_s(x) - \alpha_s(x) k_s\|_2 + \|k_s x - x\|_2 \leq 2\|k_s - 1\|_2 \leq \epsilon $$

for all $x \in (M)_1$, $|s| < s_0$. Thus $\alpha_s \to \text{id}$ uniformly on $(M)_1$, which contradicts the unboundedness of b^t just as in (5.3). $\square$

References

[A-D03] Claire Anantharaman-Delaroche, *Cohomology of property T groupoids and applications*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **25** (2005), no. 4, 977–1013. MR 2158394 (2006d:37004)

[Bo12] Lewis Bowen, *Entropy theory for sofic groupoids I: The foundations*, J. Anal. Math. **124** (2014), 149–233. MR 3286052

[Bou12] Rémi Boutonnet, *On solid ergodicity for Gaussian actions*, J. Funct. Anal. **263** (2012), no. 4, 1040–1063. MR 2927403

[CH08] Ionut Chifan and Cyril Houdayer, *Bass-Serre rigidity results in von Neumann algebras*, Duke Math. J. **153** (2010), no. 1, 23–54. MR 2641939 (2012a:46126)

[CI08] Ionut Chifan and Adrian Ioana, *Ergodic subequivalence relations induced by a Bernoulli action*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **20** (2010), no. 1, 53–67. MR 2647134 (2011f:37008)

[CKP14] Ionut Chifan, Yoshikata Kida, and Sujan Pant, *Primeness results for von neumann algebras associated with surface braid groups*, preprint arXiv:1412.8025, 2014.

[Co75] Alain Connes, *Outer conjugacy classes of automorphisms of factors*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **8** (1975), no. 3, 383–419. MR 0394228 (52 #15031)

[CS11] Ionut Chifan and Thomas Sinclair, *On the structural theory of* II_1 *factors of negatively curved groups*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **46** (2013), no. 1, 1–33 (2013). MR 3087388

[Di69] Jacques Dixmier, *von Neumann algebras*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, vol. 27, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1981, With a preface by E. C. Lance, Translated from the second French edition by F. Jellett. MR 641217 (83a:46004)

[DI12] Yoann Dabrowski and Adrian Ioana, *Unbounded derivations, free dilations and indecomposability results for* II_1 *factors*, preprint arXiv:1212.6425, 2012.

[FM75a] Jacob Feldman and Calvin C. Moore, *Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **234** (1977), no. 2, 289–324. MR 0578656 (58 #28261a)

[FM75b] ———, *Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **234** (1977), no. 2, 325–359. MR 0578730 (58 #28261b)

[Fu99] Alex Furman, *Orbit equivalence rigidity*, Ann. of Math. (2) **150** (1999), no. 3, 1083–1108. MR 1740985 (2001a:22018)

[Ga00] Damien Gaboriau, *On orbit equivalence of measure preserving actions*, Rigidity in dynamics and geometry (Cambridge, 2000), Springer, Berlin, 2002, pp. 167–186. MR 1919400 (2003c:22027)

[Ga02] ———, *Invariants* l^2 *de relations d'équivalence et de groupes*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2002), no. 95, 93–150. MR 1953191 (2004b:22009)

[Ge96] Liming Ge, *Applications of free entropy to finite von Neumann algebras. II*, Ann. of Math. (2) **147** (1998), no. 1, 143–157. MR 1609522 (99c:46068)

[Gr91] M. Gromov, *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*, Geometric group theory, Vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 182, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993, pp. 1–295. MR 1253544 (95m:20041)

[HI15] Cyril Houdayer and Yusuke Isono, *Unique prime factorization and bicentralizer problem for a class of type* III *factors*, preprint arXiv:1503.01388, 2015.

[Io12] Adrian Ioana, *Classification and rigidity for von Neumann algebras*, European Congress of Mathematics, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2014, pp. 601–625.

[Is14] Yusuke Isono, *Some prime factorization results for free quantum group factors*, preprint arXiv:1401.6923, 2014.

[Ki14] Yoshikata Kida, *Splitting in orbit equivalence, treeable groups, and the haagerup property*, preprint arXiv:1403.0688, 2014.

[OP03] Narutaka Ozawa and Sorin Popa, *Some prime factorization results for type* II_1 *factors*, Invent. Math. **156** (2004), no. 2, 223–234. MR 2052608 (2005g:46117)

[OP07] ———, *On a class of* II_1 *factors with at most one Cartan subalgebra*, Ann. of Math. (2) **172** (2010), no. 1, 713–749. MR 2680430 (2011j:46101)

[Oz03] Narutaka Ozawa, *Solid von Neumann algebras*, Acta Math. **192** (2004), no. 1, 111–117. MR 2079600 (2005e:46115)

[Oz04] ———, *A Kurosh-type theorem for type* II_1 *factors*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2006), Art. ID 97560, 21. MR 2211141 (2006m:46078)

[Pe06] Jesse Peterson, L^2*-rigidity in von Neumann algebras*, Invent. Math. **175** (2009), no. 2, 417–433. MR 2470111 (2010b:46128)

[Po81] Sorin Popa, *On a problem of R. V. Kadison on maximal abelian $*$-subalgebras in factors*, Invent. Math. **65** (1981/82), no. 2, 269–281. MR 641131 (83g:46056)

[Po83] ———, *Orthogonal pairs of $*$-subalgebras in finite von Neumann algebras*, J. Operator Theory **9** (1983), no. 2, 253–268. MR 703810 (84h:46077)

[Po01] ———, *On a class of type* II_1 *factors with Betti numbers invariants*, Ann. of Math. (2) **163** (2006), no. 3, 809–899. MR 2215135 (2006k:46097)

[Po03] ———, *Strong rigidity of* II_1 *factors arising from malleable actions of w-rigid groups. I*, Invent. Math. **165** (2006), no. 2, 369–408. MR 2231961 (2007f:46058)

[Po06a] ———, *On the superrigidity of malleable actions with spectral gap*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (2008), no. 4, 981–1000. MR 2425177 (2009e:46056)

[Po06b] ———, *On Ozawa's property for free group factors*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2007), no. 11, Art. ID rnm036, 10. MR 2344271 (2008m:46123)

[PS09] Jesse Peterson and Thomas Sinclair, *On cocycle superrigidity for Gaussian actions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **32** (2012), no. 1, 249–272. MR 2873170

[PT07] Jesse Peterson and Andreas Thom, *Group cocycles and the ring of affiliated operators*, Invent. Math. **185** (2011), no. 3, 561–592. MR 2827095 (2012j:22004)

[PV11] Sorin Popa and Stefaan Vaes, *Unique Cartan decomposition for* II_1 *factors arising from arbitrary actions of free groups*, Acta Math. **212** (2014), no. 1, 141–198. MR 3179609

[Si10] Thomas Sinclair, *Strong solidity of group factors from lattices in* $\mathrm{SO}(n,1)$ *and* $\mathrm{SU}(n,1)$, J. Funct. Anal. **260** (2011), no. 11, 3209–3221. MR 2776567 (2012e:22009)

[SW11] J. Owen Sizemore and Adam Winchester, *Unique prime decomposition results for factors coming from wreath product groups*, Pacific J. Math. **265** (2013), no. 1, 221–232. MR 3095120

[Va07] Stefaan Vaes, *Explicit computations of all finite index bimodules for a family of* II_1 *factors*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **41** (2008), no. 5, 743–788. MR 2504433 (2010k:46061)

[Va10] ———, *One-cohomology and the uniqueness of the group measure space decomposition of a* II_1 *factor*, Math. Ann. **355** (2013), no. 2, 661–696. MR 3010143

Mathematics Department; University of California, San Diego, CA 90095-1555 (United States).
E-mail address: `d1hoff@ucsd.edu`